

APPEAL NO. 22-12038 and 22-12411

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,
Plaintiff – Appellant,

versus

JAMES N. HATTEN, et al,
Defendants

**Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division
D.C. Docket No. 1:11-CV-01923-TWT
Judge Thomas Woodrow Thrash**


**APPELLANT’S PETITION FOR REHEARING
AND EN BANC DETERMINATION**

APPENDIX 147

William M. Windsor
5013 S Louise Avenue PMB 1134, Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108
Phone: 352-661-8472, Email: windsorinsouthdakota@yahoo.com

PRO SE FOR PLAINTIFF/ APPELLANT, WILLIAM M. WINDSOR

22-12038-J



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA -- ATLANTA DIVISION

KEVIN P. WEIMER, Clerk
By: [Signature] Deputy Clerk

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,)
Plaintiff)
v.)
James N. Hatten, Anniva Sanders, J. White,)
B. Gutting, Margaret Callier, B. Grutby,)
Douglas J. Mincher, Jessica Birnbaum,)
Judge William S. Duffey, Judge Orinda D.)
Evans, Judge Julie E. Carnes, John Ley)
Judge Joel F. Dubina, Judge Ed Carnes,)
Judge Rosemary Barkett, Judge Frank M.)
Hull,)
Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO.
1:11-CV-01923-TWT

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS
AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The undersigned certifies that the following is a full and complete list of all parties in this action, including any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of the stock of a party: WILLIAM M. WINDSOR, James N. Hatten, Anniva Sanders, J. White, B. Gutting, Margaret Callier, B. Grutby, Douglas J. Mincher, Jessica Birnbaum, Judge William S. Duffey, Judge Orinda D. Evans, Judge Julie E. Carnes, John Ley, Judge Joel F. Dubina, Judge Ed Carnes, Judge Rosemary Barkett, Judge Frank M. Hull.

To the best of the undersigned's knowledge, there are no publicly held

corporations that own 10% or more of the stock of a party.

The undersigned further certifies that the following is a full and complete list of all other persons, associations, firms, partnerships, or corporations having either a financial interest in or other interest which could be substantially affected by the outcome of this particular case:

- Deivanayagam, Judge Vikram.
- Evans, Orinda D. (“Judge Evans”), United States District Judge.
- Hatten, James N.
- Huber, Christopher J, United States Attorney.
- Menard, Judge Vicki.
- Schreck, Marcie.
- Thrash, Thomas W., United States District Judge.
- United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit.
- United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia.
- Windsor, William M. (“Windsor” or “Plaintiff”)

The undersigned further certifies that the following is a full and complete list of all persons alleging to be serving as attorneys for the parties in this proceeding:

CHRISTOPHER J. HUBER
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY -- Georgia Bar No. 545627
600 Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg.

75 Spring Street, S.W. -- Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Telephone: (404) 581-6292 -- Facsimile: (404) 581-6181
Email: chris.huber@usdoj.gov

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of July, 2022.



William M. Windsor
5013 S Louise Ave #1134
Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108
352-661-8472
Bill@BillWindsor.com

VERIFICATION OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR

I, William M. Windsor, swear that I am authorized to make this verification and that the facts alleged in the foregoing CERTIFICATE are true and correct based upon my personal knowledge, except as to the matters herein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and that as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

This 14th day of July, 2022.




William M. Windsor

5013 S Louise Ave #1134
Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108
352-661-8472
Bill@BillWindsor.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

As required by Local Rule 7.1D, N.D. Ga., I hereby certify that this pleading has been prepared in Times New Roman 14-point font, one of the font and point selections approved by this Court in Local Rule 5.1B, N.D. Ga.

This 14th day of July, 2022.



William M. Windsor
5013 S Louise Ave #1134
Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108
352-661-8472
Bill@BillWindsor.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing CERTIFICATE to each Defendant by email to:

CHRISTOPHER J. HUBER
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
Georgia Bar No. 545627


600 Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg.
75 Spring Street, S.W. -- Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Telephone: (404) 581-6292 -- Facsimile: (404) 581-6181
Email: chris.huber@usdoj.gov

This 14th day of July, 2022.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William M. Windsor". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underneath it.

William M. Windsor
5013 S Louise Ave #1134
Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108
352-661-8472
Bill@BillWindsor.com

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
RECEIVED
CLERK
JUL 26 2022
ATLANTA, GA

FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S.D.C. - Atlanta
JUL 18 2022
KEVIN P. WEIMER, Clerk
By:  Deputy Clerk

APPEAL NO. _____

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

In re: WILLIAM M. WINDSOR, Plaintiff and Appellant

James N. Hatten, Anniva Sanders, J. White, B. Gutting, Margaret Callier, B. Grutby, Douglas J. Mincher, Jessica Birnbaum, Judge William S. Duffey, Judge Orinda D. Evans, Judge Julie E. Carnes, John Ley, Judge Joel F. Dubina, Judge Ed Carnes, Judge Rosemary Barkett, Judge Frank M. Hull,
Defendants and Appellees,

**Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division
Civil Action No. 1:11-CV-01923-TWT
Judge Thomas Woodrow Thrash**

STATEMENT REGARDING APPEAL

William M. Windsor
5013 S Louise Ave #1134
Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108
352-661-8472
Email: bill@billwindsor.com

**PRO SE FOR PLAINTIFF/ APPELLANT,
WILLIAM M. WINDSOR**

1. William M. Windsor (“Windsor” or “Plaintiff”) in Civil Action No. 1-11-CV-01923-TWT hereby files this Frivolity and Jurisdictional Screening Statement required by an order of this Court.

2. This STATEMENT REGARDING APPEAL complies with this Court’s December 21, 2011 order.

THE DATE AND THE DISTRICT COURT DOCKET NUMBER OF EACH ORDER THAT IS THE SUBJECT OF THE APPEAL

3. The order appealed is the ORDER issued by JUDGE THOMAS W. THRASH on 6/30/2022 in Civil Action No. 1:11-CV-01923-TWT (“ORDER”).
[EXHIBIT 2293.]

CONCISE SUMMARY OF ISSUES WINDSOR INTENDS TO RAISE

4. JUDGE THOMAS W. THRASH’S ORDER IS VOID AND INVALID.

5. The issues are unlawful sua sponte modification of an injunction, violation of hundreds of court precedents, violation of Constitutional rights, denial of due process, jurisdiction, failure to have the ORDER signed and/or sealed by the clerk, extreme bias, and more.

6. FEDERAL COURTS HAVE NO JURISDICTION OVER STATE

COURT MATTERS.

7. Federal courts have no jurisdiction over state court matters. *Baum v. Blue Moon Ventures, LLC*, 513 F.3d 181, 191-92 (5th Cir. 2008); *Sieverding v. Colo. Bar Ass'n*, 469 F.3d 1340, 1344 (10th Cir. 2006); and *Martin-Trigona v. Lavien*, 737 F.2d 1254, 1263 (2d Cir. 1984).

8. WINDSOR WAS DENIED PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS.

9. The only facts before the district court were from WINDSOR. There wasn't a single affidavit or word of testimony from the Defendants. The ORDER fails to set forth any valid reasons for it (as there are none).

10. JUDGE THOMAS W. THRASH foreclosed WINDSOR's access to federal courts and Texas state courts. JUDGE THOMAS W. THRASH issued an injunction without giving WINDSOR the opportunity to be heard at a hearing. There was no Show Cause order issued to WINDSOR. He did not have proper notice.

11. THERE WAS NO FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE ORDER.

12. The basis for the ORDER was alleged "abuse of the federal judicial system" by "repeatedly filing frivolous, malicious and vexatious lawsuits the judges assigned to his many cases" But there was no evidence presented in the matter to support such a statement in the ORDER.

13. THIS COURT MUST MAKE IT CLEAR THAT JUDGE THOMAS W. THRASH DOES NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO ISSUE ORDERS OR INJUNCTIONS THAT RESTRICT STATE COURT MATTERS.

14. Meaningful access to the courts is the issue. Federal courts have no authority to limit state court filings. There are three key federal precedents that are routinely cited on this issue by every federal circuit court -- *Baum v. Blue Moon Ventures, LLC*, 513 F.3d 181, 191-92 (5th Cir. 2008); *Sieverding v. Colo. Bar Ass'n*, 469 F.3d 1340, 1344 (10th Cir. 2006); and *Martin-Trigona v. Lavien*, 737 F.2d 1254, 1263 (2d Cir. 1984). WINDSOR has researched "filing restrictions" referencing the three key federal precedents in every federal circuit court. There has never been one single appellate decision that disagrees with the three cases. WINDSOR has reviewed and reported on over 150 federal appellate decision regarding filing restrictions.

15. JUDGE THOMAS W. THRASH HAD NO RIGHT TO DENY ACQUAINTANCES OF WINDSOR FROM PURSUING THEIR LEGAL MATTERS.

16. The courthouse doors have been closed to WINDSOR and his acquaintances in violation of extensive case law. WINDSOR and his

acquaintances have been denied rights pursuant to the Constitution and Bill of Rights.

17. JUDGE THOMAS W. THRASH HAS EXTREME BIAS AGAINST WINDSOR. HE WILL DO ANYTHING TO DAMAGE WINDSOR.

**CONCISE SUMMARY OF THE BASIS OF
THIS COURT'S JURISDICTION**

18. The Court of Appeals has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) because one of the district court's rulings (1) imposed an injunction; or (2) had the practical effect of an injunction; or (3) worked a modification of an injunction.

19. Injunctions are appealable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a).

LIST OF ALL PENDING APPEALS, PETITIONS, AND ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS IN THIS COURT AND THE STATUS OF EACH


20. There is one appeal pending in this Court – 22-12038. Status = new.

LIST OF ALL OUTSTANDING INJUNCTIONS OR ORDERS, WHETHER ISSUED BY THIS COURT OR BY ANY FEDERAL COURT THAT RESTRICT WINDSOR'S FEDERAL COURT FILINGS

21. Order dated December 21, 2011 in Case Numbers 11-12176-E, 11-13996-E, 11-14073-A, 11-14501-E, 11-14021-B, 11-14023-A, 11-14124-B, 11-

14125-B, 11-14126-B, and 11-14127-B.

Submitted, this 14th day of July, 2022.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William M. Windsor". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a horizontal line drawn underneath it.

William M. Windsor

5013 S Louise Ave #1134

Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108

352-661-8472

Bill@BillWindsor.com

EXHIBIT

2293

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,

Plaintiff,

v.

B. GRUTBY, et al.,


Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION FILE
NO. 1:11-CV-1923-TWT

ORDER

This is a pro se civil action. It is before the Court on the Motion for Leave to File Motions [Doc. 269], Motion for Leave to File [Doc. 270] and Motion for Leave to File Civil Rights Complaint [Doc. 271] which are DENIED based upon the well-documented history of frivolous filings by William Windsor and his abuse of the federal judicial system.

SO ORDERED, this 30th day of June, 2022.


THOMAS W. THRASH, JR.
United States District Judge

