

APPEAL NO. 22-12038 and 22-12411

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,
Plaintiff – Appellant,

versus

JAMES N. HATTEN, et al,
Defendants

**Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division
D.C. Docket No. 1:11-CV-01923-TWT
Judge Thomas Woodrow Thrash**

**APPELLANT’S PETITION FOR REHEARING
AND EN BANC DETERMINATION**

APPENDIX 139

William M. Windsor
5013 S Louise Avenue PMB 1134, Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108
Phone: 352-661-8472, Email: windsorinsouthdakota@yahoo.com

PRO SE FOR PLAINTIFF/ APPELLANT, WILLIAM M. WINDSOR

APPEAL NO. _____

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

In re: WILLIAM M. WINDSOR, Plaintiff and Appellant

James N. Hatten, Anniva Sanders, J. White, B. Gutting, Margaret Callier, B. Grutby, Douglas J. Mincher, Jessica Birnbaum, Judge William S. Duffey, Judge Orinda D. Evans, Judge Julie E. Carnes, John Ley, Judge Joel F. Dubina, Judge Ed Carnes, Judge Rosemary Barkett, Judge Frank M. Hull,
Defendants and Appellees,

**Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division
Civil Action No. 1:11-CV-01923-TWT
Judge Thomas Woodrow Thrash**

STATEMENT REGARDING APPEAL

William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-661-8472
Email: windsorinmontana@yahoo.com

**PRO SE FOR PLAINTIFF / APPELLANT,
WILLIAM M. WINDSOR**

1. William M. Windsor (“Windsor” or “Plaintiff”) in the above-named case hereby files this amended Frivolity and Jurisdictional Screening Statement required by an order of this Court.

2. This STATEMENT REGARDING APPEAL complies with this Court’s December 21, 2011 order.

THE DATE AND THE DISTRICT COURT DOCKET NUMBER OF EACH ORDER THAT IS THE SUBJECT OF THE APPEAL

The orders appealed in Civil Action No. 1:11-CV-01923-TWT are the Orders dated May 26, 2022 (EXHIBIT 4); the ORDER dated February 23, 2018 (Exhibit 3); the Opinion and Order dated February 12, 2018 (“MODIFIED INJUNCTION” - Exhibit 2) that modified the July 15, 2011 order; and the Order dated July 15, 2011 (“INJUNCTION” - Exhibit 1).

CONCISE SUMMARY OF ISSUES WINDSOR INTENDS TO RAISE

3. **THE DISTRICT COURT’S ORDERS ARE VOID AND INVALID.**

4. The issues are unlawful sua sponte modification of an injunction, violation of hundreds of court precedents, violation of Constitutional rights, denial

of due process, jurisdiction, failure to sign orders or have them signed and/or sealed by the clerk, and more.

5. FEDERAL COURTS HAVE NO JURISDICTION OVER STATE COURT APPLICATIONS FOR GUARDIANSHIP.

6. Federal courts have no jurisdiction over state court applications for guardianship. Guardianship is not a lawsuit or an administrative proceeding.

7. WINDSOR WAS DENIED PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS.

8. The only facts before the district court were from Windsor. There wasn't a single affidavit or word of testimony from the Defendants. The INJUNCTION fails to set forth any valid reasons (as there are none). It is extremely broad. It places financial restrictions on Windsor that he cannot meet.

9. The district court foreclosed Windsor's access to courts. The district court issued an injunction without giving Windsor the opportunity to be heard at a hearing. There was no Show Cause order issued to Windsor. He did not have proper notice.

10. THERE WAS NO FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE INJUNCTION.

11. The basis for the INJUNCTION was alleged "abuse of the federal judicial system" by "repeatedly filing frivolous, malicious and vexatious lawsuits

against the judges assigned to his many cases....” But there was no evidence presented in the matter to support such a statement in the INJUNCTION.

12. THE INJUNCTION MUST BE MODIFIED TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT APPROVAL IS NOT REQUIRED TO FILE AN APPEAL OF ANY CASE.

13. An appeal of a state court action is a legal right.

14. THE INJUNCTION SHOULD BE MODIFIED TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT IT DOES NOT APPLY TO STATE COURT MATTERS.

15. Meaningful access to the courts is the issue. Federal courts have no authority to limit state court filings. There are three key federal precedents that are routinely cited on this issue by every federal circuit court -- *Baum v. Blue Moon Ventures, LLC*, 513 F.3d 181, 191-92 (5th Cir. 2008); *Sieverding v. Colo. Bar Ass’n*, 469 F.3d 1340, 1344 (10th Cir. 2006); and *Martin-Trigona v. Lavien*, 737 F.2d 1254, 1263 (2d Cir. 1984). Windsor has researched “filing restrictions” referencing the three key federal precedents in every federal circuit court. There has never been one single appellate decision that disagrees with the three cases. Windsor has reviewed and reported on over 150 federal appellate decision regarding filing restrictions.

**CONCISE SUMMARY OF THE BASIS OF
THIS COURT'S JURISDICTION**

16. The Court of Appeals has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) because one of the district court's rulings (1) imposed an injunction; or (2) had the practical effect of an injunction; or (3) worked a modification of an injunction.

17. Injunctions are appealable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1292(a).

**LIST OF ALL PENDING APPEALS, PETITIONS, AND ORIGINAL
PROCEEDINGS IN THIS COURT AND THE STATUS OF EACH**

18. There are no appeals pending in this Court other than the appeal that this Statement references.

**LIST OF ALL OUTSTANDING INJUNCTIONS OR ORDERS,
WHETHER ISSUED BY THIS COURT OR BY ANY FEDERAL COURT
THAT RESTRICT WINDSOR'S FEDERAL COURT FILINGS**

19. Order dated December 21, 2011 in Case Numbers 11-12176-E, 11-13996-E, 11-14073-A, 11-14501-E, 11-14021-B, 11-14023-A, 11-14124-B, 11-14125-B, 11-14126-B, and 11-14127-B.

Submitted, this 4th day of June, 2022.



William M. Windsor

100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3

Leesburg, Florida 34748

352-661-8472

windsorinmontana@yahoo.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that this pleading has been prepared in Times New Roman 14-point font, one of the font and point selections approved by this Court.



William M. Windsor
Pro Se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing to:

CHRISTOPHER J. HUBER
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
Georgia Bar No. 545627
600 Richard B. Russell Federal Bldg.
75 Ted Turner Drive, S.W. -- Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Telephone: (404) 581-6000 -- Facsimile: (404) 581-6181
Email: chris.huber@usdoj.gov

This 4th day of June, 2022.



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-661-8472
windsorinmontana@yahoo.com