

**APPEAL NO. 22-12038 and 22-12411**

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

---

**WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,**  
Plaintiff – Appellant,

versus

**JAMES N. HATTEN, et al,**  
Defendants

---

**Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division  
D.C. Docket No. 1:11-CV-01923-TWT  
Judge Thomas Woodrow Thrash**

---

**APPELLANT’S PETITION FOR REHEARING  
AND EN BANC DETERMINATION**

**APPENDIX 131**

---

William M. Windsor  
5013 S Louise Avenue PMB 1134, Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108  
Phone: 352-661-8472, Email: windsorinsouthdakota@yahoo.com

**PRO SE FOR PLAINTIFF/ APPELLANT, WILLIAM M. WINDSOR**

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

Nos. 22-12038-J; 22-12411-J

---

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

JAMES N. HATTEN, et al.,

Defendants,

B. GRUTBY,  
JUDGE WILLIAM S. DUFFEY, JR.,  
JUDGE ORINDA D. EVANS,  
JUDGE JULIE E. CARNES,  
JOHN LEY, et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

On Appeal from the United States  
District Court for the Northern District of Georgia

---

BEFORE: JORDAN, JILL PRYOR, and BRASHER, Circuit Judges.

BY THE COURT:

This Court's December 21, 2011 order in no. 11-12176, et al., required, among other things, that Appellant file a separate statement to facilitate the Court's initial review of frivolity and jurisdictional issues. The statement must include certain items, including a concise summary, without argument, of each issue Appellant intends to raise. The Court is to make its jurisdictional and frivolity determinations based on the issues and orders identified in the statement.

Appellant's appeal statement for no. 22-12038 was docketed in the district court as an attachment to the notice of appeal. (*See* Doc. 265-1 at 16–22.)

Appellant's appeal statement for no. 22-12411 is currently docketed as a part of a docket entry for a "Change of Address" in no. 22-12038, dated July 18, 2022. The Clerk's Office is DIRECTED to docket the "Statement Regarding Appeal" in that docket entry in no. 22-12411.

The Court has reviewed both appeal statements. With respect to both the appeal statement associated with appeal no. 22-12038 and the appeal statement associated with appeal no. 22-12411, the Court finds that Appellant has raised a non-frivolous issue, specifically whether a pre-filing injunction may be extended to filings in state court. *See, e.g., Baum v. Blue Moon Ventures, LLC*, 513 F.3d 181, 192 (5th Cir. 2008). Accordingly, these appeals survive the frivolity screening required by this Court's December 21, 2011 order.

The Court, however, has not completed its jurisdictional review. To assist the Court's review, Jurisdictional Questions will issue in both appeals. These appeals are STAYED pending the Court's review of the Jurisdictional Questions and the parties' responses.

Should these appeals proceed after the Court's review of the Jurisdictional Questions, the Clerk's Office is DIRECTED to consolidate these two appeals.