

EXHIBIT

388

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
STATE BAR OF TEXAS
GRIEVANCE FORM

ONLINE FILING AVAILABLE AT <http://cdc.texasbar.com>.

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Before you fill out this paperwork, there may be a faster way to resolve the issue you are currently having with an attorney.

If you are considering filing a grievance against a Texas attorney for any of the following reasons:

- ~ You are concerned about the progress of your case.
- ~ Communication with your attorney is difficult.
- ~ Your case is over or you have fired your attorney and you need documents from your file or your former attorney.

You may want to consider contacting the Client-Attorney Assistance Program (CAAP) at 1-800-932-1900.

CAAP was established by the State Bar of Texas to help people resolve these kinds of issues with attorneys quickly, without the filing of a formal grievance.

CAAP can resolve many problems without a grievance being filed by providing information, by suggesting various self-help options for dealing with the situation, or by contacting the attorney either by telephone or letter.

I have _____ I have not contacted the Client-Attorney Assistance Program.

If you prefer, you have the option to file your grievance online at <http://cdc.texasbar.com>.

In order for us to comply with our deadlines, additional information/documentation that you would like to include as part of your grievance submission must be received in this office by mail or fax within (10) days after submission of your grievance. Please limit your additional information to 25 pages. Information, including audio, video or image files, submitted on a USB thumb drive or flash drive must not exceed 25MB. Information received after the 10 day deadline will be returned and not considered, as well as information submitted on CDs, DVDs, cassette tapes or other unsupported media. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

NOTE: Please be sure to fill out each section completely. Do not leave any section blank. If you do not know the answer to any question, write "I don't know."

II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU -- PLEASE KEEP CURRENT

1. TDCJ/SID # N/A Mr. Ms. Mrs. Name: MARCIE SCHRECK

Immigration # N/A

Address: 6302 OAKCREST LN. AMARILLO, TEXAS 79109

City: AMARILLO State: TEXAS Zip Code: 79109

2. Employer: N/A

Employer's Address: N/A

N/A

3. Telephone numbers: Residence: _____ Work: _____
Cell: 254-651-7078

4. Email: STARSCHECK7@outlook.com

5. Drivers License # TX CDL 08450955 Date of Birth 12/26/1959

6. Name, address, and telephone number of person who can always reach you in the event that the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel needs to locate you. ***Please note that confidentiality is not waived and this individual does not have the authority to contact the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel in order to obtain information about this grievance.**

Name William M. Windsor Address 100 EAST OAK TERRACE DRIVE
Unit 133 Leesburg, Florida 34748 Telephone 352-661-8472

7. Do you understand and write in the English language? YES
If no, what is your primary language? na
Who helped you prepare this form? na
Will they be available to translate future correspondence during this process? na

8. Are you a Judge? no
If yes, please provide Court, County, City, State: na

III. INFORMATION ABOUT ATTORNEY

Note: Grievances are not accepted against law firms. You must specifically name the attorney against whom you are complaining. A separate grievance form must be completed for each attorney against whom you are complaining.

1. Attorney name: W. BROOKS BARFIELD Address: 901 S. POLK ST.

City: AMARILLO State: TEXAS Zip Code: 79101

2. Telephone number: Work ⁸⁰⁶408-9500 Home _____ Other _____

3. Have you or a member of your family filed a grievance about this attorney previously?

Yes ___ No If "yes", please state its approximate date and outcome. n-a

Have you or a member of your family ever filed an appeal with the Board of Disciplinary Appeals about this attorney?

Yes ___ No If "yes," please state its approximate date and outcome.

n-a

4. Please check one of the following:

- This attorney was **hired** to represent me.
- This attorney was **appointed** to represent me.
- This attorney was hired to represent **someone else**.

If you hired the attorney, tell us how you met the attorney. Specifically, please provide details about how you came to know and hire this attorney. Judge Dan L. Schaap appointed W. Brooks Barfield to represent Hunter Tyler Schreck in his criminal case. This was done on Jan 12, 2021 and W. Brooks Barfield never spoke to me or my son until Sept. 8, 2021

Please give the date the attorney was hired or appointed. Jan. 12, 2021

Please state what the attorney was hired or appointed to do. Represent Hunter Tyler Schreck in 2 felony charges that he did not commit.

5. What was your fee arrangement with the attorney? NO ARRANGEMENT - NO CHARGE.

How much did you pay the attorney? 0.00

If you signed a contract and have a copy, please attach. N-a
If you have copies of checks and/or receipts, please attach. N-a
Do not send originals.

6. If you did not hire the attorney, what is your connection with the attorney? Explain briefly
Court appointed attorney for my son Hunter Tyler Schreck. My son is disabled, and I have to handle all of his legal work under a power of attorney.

7. Are you currently represented by an attorney? No
If yes, please provide information about your current attorney: N/A

8. Do you claim the attorney has an impairment, such as depression or a substance use disorder? If yes, please provide specifics (your **personal** observations of the attorney such as slurred speech, odor of alcohol, ingestion of alcohol or drugs in your presence etc., including the date you observed this, the time of day, and location). I believe he has several impairments. I believe he has a mental disorder in which he habitually or compulsively lies. He is extremely dishonest.

9. Did the attorney ever make any statements or admissions to you or in your presence that would indicate that the attorney may be experiencing an impairment, such as depression or a substance use disorder? If so, please provide details.
Yes. SEE attached

IV. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR GRIEVANCE

1. Where did the activity you are complaining about occur?
County: Randall County City: Amarillo
County: Potter County

2. If your grievance is about a lawsuit, answer the following, if known:
a. Name of court 49th Judicial Court

b. Title of the suit STATE V. Hunter Tyler Schreck

c. Case number and date suit was filed 30487A 6/25/2020

d. If you are not a party to this suit, what is your connection with it? Explain briefly.

MOTHER OF HUNTER TYLER SCHRECK and
ATTORNEY-IN-FACT

If you have copies of court documents, please attach.

3. Explain in detail why you think this attorney has done something improper or has failed to do something which should have been done. Attach additional sheets of paper if necessary.

Supporting documents, such as copies of a retainer agreement, proof of payment, correspondence between you and your attorney, the case name and number if a specific case is involved, and copies of papers filed in connection with the case, may be useful to our investigation. Do not send originals, as they will not be returned. Additionally, please do not use staples, post-it notes, or binding. Please limit your supporting documentation to 25 pages. Information, including audio, video or image files, submitted on a USB thumb drive or flash drive must not exceed 25MB. Information received after the 10 day deadline will be returned and not considered, as well as information submitted on CDs, DVDs, cassette tapes or other unsupported media.

Include the names, addresses, and telephone number of all persons who know something about your grievance.

Please be advised that a copy of your grievance will be forwarded to the attorney named in your grievance. To protect your privacy and the privacy of others, please redact personal identifying information (i.e., social security number, date of birth) from any document you provide in support of your grievance and avoid submitting medical records or protected health information belonging to third-parties. Please be advised that in the event that you do provide records that contain your own personal identifying information or protected health information, you are authorizing us to share this information with the attorney named in your grievance. Be advised that documents that contain unredacted third party personal identifying information or that individual's protected health information will be returned and not considered. By executing the grievance below, you authorize the CDC to disclose your personal identifying information and protected health information as necessary to comply with the law, or as necessary to carry out the function and duties of the CDC.

SEE ATTACHED

Lined writing area with 20 horizontal lines.

V. HOW DID YOU LEARN ABOUT THE STATE BAR OF TEXAS' ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE PROCESS?

<input type="checkbox"/>	Yellow Pages	<input type="checkbox"/>	CAAP
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Internet	<input type="checkbox"/>	Attorney
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	Website

VI. ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE WAIVER

I hereby expressly waive any attorney-client privilege as to the attorney, the subject of this Grievance, and authorize such attorney to reveal any information in the professional relationship to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel of the State Bar of Texas. I understand that it may be necessary to act promptly to preserve any legal rights I may have, and that commencement of a civil action may be required to preserve those rights.

Additionally, I understand that the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel may exercise its discretion and refer this Grievance to the Client-Attorney Assistance Program (CAAP) of the State Bar of Texas for assistance in resolving a subject matter of this Grievance. In that regard, I hereby acknowledge my understanding that such discretionary referral does not constitute the commencement of a civil action and that the State Bar of Texas will not commence any civil action on my part. I acknowledge that it is my responsibility to seek and obtain any necessary legal advice with respect to this matter. I also understand that any information I provide to the State Bar of Texas may be used to assist me and will remain confidential for purposes of resolving the issue(s) described above.

I understand that the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel maintains as confidential the processing of Grievances.

I hereby swear and affirm that I am the person named in Section II, Question 1 of this form (the Complainant) and that the information provided in this Grievance is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature: Marcie Schreck Date: 11/24/2021

TO ENSURE PROMPT ATTENTION, THE GRIEVANCE SHOULD BE MAILED TO:

THE OFFICE OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
P.O. Box 13287
Austin, TX 78711
Fax: (512) 427-4169

TEXAS BAR COMPLAINT – BROOKS BARFIELD

1. On June 24, 2020, approximately 15 Amarillo Police Officers and several civilians attempted to murder Hunter Tyler Schreck (“HUNTER”) for the crime of Autism.
2. On June 25, 2020, HUNTER was charged with two felonies of assault on a peace officer. HUNTER has never committed a crime.
3. On January 12, 2021, Judge Dan L. Schaap named W. Brooks Barfield to be a court-appointed attorney for HUNTER. [EXHIBIT 11.]¹
4. In January 2021, Judge Dan L. Schaap lied to HUNTER and his Mom, Marcie Schreck (“MARCIE”). [EXHIBIT 134.] He said HUNTER could represent himself and could terminate Brooks Barfield at any time. “If you don’t want Mr. Barfield to represent Hunter, that is up to you. Hunter can represent himself if that’s what he wants to do...” “If you decide Hunter doesn’t need representation at all that’s a decision you get to make.” EXHIBIT 297 is the audio recording of this ZOOM call; it can’t be filed electronically, and the Clerk of Court refuses to accept anything, but it can be mailed if desired.
5. From January 12, 2021 to September 8, 2021, Brooks Barfield did absolutely nothing. EXHIBIT 321 shows this. He never ever spoke with or saw HUNTER or MARCIE during this time.
6. On July 26, 2021, Dr. Jay Gattis tested HUNTER and the Brain Scan revealed a Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. [EXHIBIT 237.] [EXHIBIT 373.] [EXHIBIT 374.] [EXHIBIT 375.]
7. In 2021, MARCIE made multiple calls attempting to speak to Brooks Barfield. He never responded. He never called. He never wrote. He ignored HUNTER and MARCIE.
8. Brooks Barfield told MARCIE he didn’t know what to do and she would need to do all the legal work. MARCIE dropped out of school in the eighth grade, so she studied law.
9. On August 21, 2021, Dr. Jay Faber, MD, of Amen Clinic issued a report on HUNTER that documented a brain injury.
10. On August 24, 2021, MARCIE sent an email to Brooks Barfield citing multiple calls with no response and informing him of her Power of Attorney. [EXHIBIT 341.]
11. On August 24, 2021, Brooks Barfield finally responded asking MARCIE to contact the office for an appointment. [EXHIBIT 342.]

¹ Most referenced EXHIBITS are not attached due to 25-page limit.

12. On September 8, 2021, MARCIE and HUNTER went to the office of Brooks Barfield. EXHIBIT 142 is a Transcription of the Audio Recording of most of the meeting. EXHIBIT 299 is the audio recording of this meeting. It was the first and only time Brooks Barfield saw HUNTER. He did not speak with him. He never even heard his voice.

13. On September 8, 2021, Brooks Barfield told MARCIE that HUNTER was not incompetent.

14. MARCIE called the office of Brooks Barfield numerous times requesting documents, but nothing was ever provided.

15. On September 17, 2021, Dr. Jay Faber, MD, of Amen Clinic signed a report on HUNTER that documented a brain injury, [EXHIBIT 399.] [EXHIBIT 237.] [EXHIBIT 373.] [EXHIBIT 374.] [EXHIBIT 375.] On Page 4 of EXHIBIT 399, Dr. Jay Faber said “TBI (Traumatic Brain Injury) since violent assault.” On Page 10 of EXHIBIT 399, Dr. Jay Faber said the scans showed “decreased tracer activity” “suggestive of a past history of brain injury.” On Page 10 of EXHIBIT 399, Dr. Jay Faber also made Findings of “Brain Injury. A combination of findings suggests past brain injury. These findings include: Decreased left and right temporal lobe activity and Decreased parietal lobe activity.” These findings continued on Page 11 with “Decreased left and right occipital lobe activity and Decreased activity along the longitudinal fissure.” On Page 12 of EXHIBIT 399, Dr. Jay Faber expressed primary concerns of “onset of paranoia and auditory hallucinations following a traumatic brain injury; Anxiety; and Difficulties with focus, memory, and other probable cognitive issues.” On Page 13 of EXHIBIT 399, Dr. Jay Faber made this Diagnosis: “Psychotic disorder with delusions; Psychotic disorder with Hallucinations; Other specified mental disorders; Unspecified mood (affective) disorder; and Diffuse traumatic brain injury.” Dr. Jay Faber, MD, is a respected Physician. [EXHIBIT 398.]

16. On September 29, 2021, Brooks Barfield secretly filed a Motion Suggesting Incompetency. [EXHIBIT 14.] This was not disclosed to HUNTER or MARCIE. The filing is a fraud; it claims HUNTER made the filing.

17. On October 12, 2021, MARCIE was told of the Motion by a friend who saw it. HUNTER then terminated Brooks Barfield. [EXHIBIT 2.] [EXHIBIT 16.] [EXHIBIT 136.]

18. On October 13, 2021, MARCIE emailed Brooks Barfield requesting the casefile. [EXHIBIT 65.] [EXHIBIT 19.] MARCIE has never received anything.

19. On October 14, 2021, Brooks Barfield emailed MARCIE with threats. [EXHIBIT 20.] Brooks Barfield lied and refused to cooperate.

20. On October 15, 2021, MARCIE emailed Brooks Barfield in response to his threats. [EXHIBIT 28.] The email says HUNTER and she want to make it absolutely clear he was terminated.

21. On October 15, 2021, a Motion for Sanctions and to Compel W. Brooks Barfield to deliver Evidence and Case File to the Pro Se Defendant was filed against Brooks Barfield. The Motion cited Texas Rules of CIVIL Procedure Rule 1.15. [EXHIBIT 18.] Brooks Barfield never responded.

22. On October 16, 2021, a Second Motion for Sanctions and to Compel W. Brooks Barfield to deliver Evidence and Case File to the Pro Se Defendant was filed against Brooks Barfield. [EXHIBIT 29.] The Motion cited Texas Rules of CIVIL Procedure Rule 1.15. Brooks Barfield never responded.

23. On October 16, 2021, a Statutory Durable Power of Attorney was filed by HUNTER. [EXHIBIT 26.]

24. On October 20, 2021, HUNTER was taken to the Randall County Clerk of Court's office by MARCIE. EXHIBIT 295 is an audio recording.

25. On October 20, 2021, HUNTER filed a Motion to Recuse and for Disqualification of Judge Dan L. Schaap. [EXHIBIT 58.] [EXHIBIT 324.] It was recorded by audio. [EXHIBIT 125.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

26. On October 20, 2021, HUNTER was taken to the Randall County Jail by MARCIE because he was outrageously ordered to go there by Judge Dan L. Schaap for a Competency Evaluation. HUNTER wore a wire, and this was recorded. [EXHIBIT 143.] [EXHIBIT 159.] [EXHIBIT 296.]

27. On October 28, 2021, Brooks Barfield emailed MARCIE claiming there was a Show Cause Hearing. [EXHIBIT 141.] [EXHIBIT 211.] The Docket showed no such hearing. [EXHIBIT 130.]

28. On October 28, 2021 at 8:24 p.m., MARCIE and HUNTER Schreck emailed Barfield with a CEASE-AND-DESIST demand. [EXHIBIT 207.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

29. On October 28, 2021 at 11:38 a.m., MARCIE and HUNTER Schreck emailed Brooks Barfield regarding his malpractice. [EXHIBIT 208.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

30. On November 1, 2021, a second Motion to Disqualify Judge Dan L. Schaap was filed. [EXHIBIT 178.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

31. On November 1, 2021, MARCIE sent an Email to Brooks Barfield requesting filing of 30 documents and instructing Brooks Barfield to go see the judge to argue the Motion to Disqualify Judge Dan L. Schaap. [EXHIBIT 334.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

32. On November 1, 2021, MARCIE sent an Email to Brooks Barfield requesting filing Motion to Quash Indictment and Motion to Dismiss. [EXHIBIT 335.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

33. On November 1, 2021, MARCIE sent an Email to Brooks Barfield requesting Show Cause Response. [EXHIBIT 340.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

34. On November 2, 2021, MARCIE sent an Email to Brooks Barfield with a CEASE-AND-DESIST Notice. [EXHIBIT 210.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

35. On November 2, 2021, MARCIE sent an Email to Brooks Barfield requesting setting of depositions. [EXHIBIT 336.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

36. On November 2, 2021, MARCIE sent an Email to Brooks Barfield requesting discovery. [EXHIBIT 337.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

37. On November 2, 2021, MARCIE sent an Email to Brooks Barfield requesting discovery. [EXHIBIT 338.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

38. On November 2, 2021, MARCIE sent an Email to Brooks Barfield requesting subpoenas and more. [EXHIBIT 339.] Brooks Barfield did nothing.

39. On November 6, 2021, MARCIE and William Schreck executed sworn affidavits explaining what HUNTER had said about wanting Brooks Barfield removed. [EXHIBIT 156.] [EXHIBIT 157.]

40. On November 18, 2021, a so-called hearing was held.

41. William Schreck attended the November 18, 2021 hearing as a courtroom observer. Barbara Younger was the court reporter.

42. On November 18, 2021 in the courtroom of the 47th District Court, MARCIE was intimidated and threatened.

43. On November 18, 2021 in the courtroom of the 47th District Court, Brooks Barfield kept saying MARCIE claims she is an attorney for HUNTER, and she can't do that. He said Marcie just keeps lying. MARCIE never made any such claim, and she has not lied.

44. On November 18, 2021 in the courtroom of the 47th District Court, Judge Kent Sims asked MARCIE to speak. MARCIE told Judge Kent Sims that HUNTER was unable to come. She said Brooks Barfield is no longer his attorney, so the hearing would have to be rescheduled. Brooks Barfield ran to the podium from the Peanut Gallery and lied repeatedly to the Court. He said he hadn't even been able to speak with HUNTER. MARCIE said that was false as MARCIE and HUNTER went to his office on 9/8/2021 when Brooks Barfield requested the opportunity to "lay eyes on him." Brooks Barfield never spoke to HUNTER, never asked him a question, and never even heard his voice. MARCIE explained that HUNTER made the

decision right there that he wanted no part of Brooks Barfield. Brooks Barfield told the Court MARCIE was lying.

45. MARCIE has previously sworn under penalty of perjury:

“W. BROOKS BARFIELD never spoke to HUNTER, never addressed him, and never tried to engage him. This was very upsetting to HUNTER, and he told his mother that W. BROOKS BARFIELD wasn’t working in his best interest and that he didn’t want him. HUNTER and his Mom were not even invited into the private office of W. BROOKS BARFIELD; they “spoke” in the lobby. On a tape recording that Marcie Schreck secretly made, W. BROOKS BARFIELD can be heard saying the last thing in the world he wanted was for the Schrecks to be in his office.

“Marcie Schreck asked W. BROOKS BARFIELD if he considered HUNTER incompetent. W. BROOKS BARFIELD said, “NO.” He said with a diagnosis of Severe Traumatic Brain Injury (“TBI”), HUNTER wasn’t even a candidate for Mental Health Court.”

46. On November 20, 2021, HUNTER recorded a video for Judge Dan L. Schaap, Judge Ana Estevez, and Judge Kent Sims. It was sent Certified Mail to each of the judges. HUNTER made it absolutely clear that he wanted nothing to do with Brooks Barfield.

47. On November 22, 2021, HUNTER filed a new Statutory Durable Power of Attorney. [EXHIBIT 378.]

48. On November 22, 2021, MARCIE sent an email to Judge Dan L. Schaap, Judge Ana Estevez, Judge Kent Sims, and others with HUNTER TYLER SCHRECK’s new Statutory Durable Power of Attorney and other documents [EXHIBIT 377.]

49. On November 22, 2021, William M. Windsor sent an email to Clerk of District Court, Joel Forbis, with HUNTER’s new Statutory Durable Power of Attorney and a demand that Brooks Barfield be removed as an attorney of record. [EXHIBIT 379.]

Mr. Forbis:

I am a new agent for Hunter Tyler Schreck, Case No. 30487A.

I just received this message:

"The Randall County District Clerk’s Office has been ordered not to accept any further communications, oral or written, concerning this criminal matter from any person other than the attorney of record."

Please provide me with a copy of this alleged Order.

Please advise me what legal authority there is for any judge or Clerk of Court to deny filings that are legally made or deny any oral or written communications.

Texas Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 5 (d) (4) provides: *“Acceptance by the Clerk.* The clerk must not refuse to file a paper solely because it is not in the form prescribed by these rules or

by a local rule or practice.” We have efile proof of receipt by your office of everything that was not docketed. In a long line of cases, Texas courts have held that a document is “filed” when it is tendered to the clerk, or otherwise put under the custody or control of the clerk. *Mr. Penguin Tuxedo Rental & Sales, Inc. v. NCR Corp.*, 787 S.W.2d 371, 372 (Tex.1990); *Biffle v. Morton Rubber Indus., Inc.*, 785 S.W.2d 143 (Tex.1990); *Standard Fire Ins. Co. v. LaCoke*, 585 S.W.2d 678, 681 (Tex.1979). (*Jamar v. Patterson*, 868 S.W.2d 318 (Tex. 1993).)

Please advise what legal authority says Hunter has to have an "attorney of record." Marcie Schreck and I are the agents for Hunter to handle all of his legal matters.

EfileTexas and Joel Forbis: Please immediately remove Brooks Barfield as "Attorney of Record," and insert Marcie Schreck and me.

Bill Windsor

50. Windsor’s call to Clerk of District Court, Joel Forbis, has not been returned.

51. William M. Windsor’s placed a telephone call to Brooks Barfield demanding delivery of HUNTER’s file and all evidence. The voice mail message has not been returned.

52. On November 23, 2021 at 2:52 p.m., MARCIE filed the STATUTORY DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY with the Randall County District Clerk. [EXHIBIT 400.]

53. EXHIBIT 371 is an Affidavit of MARCIE dated November 22, 2021.

54. Judge Kent Sims did not recognize the right of MARCIE to represent HUNTER.

55. Public Pretender Brooks Barfield attended, but did not represent HUNTER or make arguments on his behalf.

56. The criminal DEFENDANT had a legal right to representation.

57. HUNTER was denied the effective assistance of counsel under the Sixth Amendment and the Constitution of the United States.

58. HUNTER repeatedly complained of the services he was receiving from the Schaap-appointed attorney. (*Mendoza v. State*, 642 S.W.2d 183 (Tex. App. 1982).)

59. **Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 1.15. Declining or Terminating Representation - Mandatory Withdrawal – Discharge 4:**

“A client has the power to discharge a lawyer at any time, with or without cause, subject to liability for payment for the lawyer’s services, and paragraph (a) of this Rule requires that the discharged lawyer withdraw.”

60. HUNTER discharged Brooks Barfield repeatedly in writing.

61. **Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 1.15. Declining or Terminating Representation - Assisting the Client Upon Withdrawal (9):**

“In every instance of withdrawal and even if the lawyer has been unfairly discharged by the client, a lawyer must take all reasonable steps to mitigate the consequences to the client.”

62. Brooks Barfield knew HUNTER could discharge at any time. He knew he had a duty to turn over the file and evidence. He refused to give the evidence to HUNTER.

63. Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Preamble: A Lawyer’s Responsibilities 1:

“A lawyer is a representative of clients, an officer of the legal system and a public citizen having special responsibility for the quality of justice. Lawyers, as guardians of the law, play a vital role in the preservation of society. The fulfillment of this role requires an understanding by lawyers of their relationship with and function in our legal system. A consequent obligation of lawyers is to maintain the highest standards of ethical conduct.”

64. Brooks Barfield has displayed no ethical standards whatsoever. Only unethical. Many of his violations are expressed herein.

65. Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Preamble: A Lawyer’s Responsibilities 4.

“A lawyer’s conduct should conform to the requirements of the law, both in professional service to clients and in the lawyer’s business and personal affairs. A lawyer should use the law’s procedures only for legitimate purposes and not to harass or intimidate others. A lawyer should demonstrate respect for the legal system and for those who serve it, including judges, other lawyers and public officials. While it is a lawyer’s duty, when necessary, to challenge the rectitude of official action, it is also a lawyer’s duty to uphold legal process.”

66. Brooks Barfield’s conduct has not conformed to the requirements of the law. He has used the law for illegitimate purposes. He has violated legal process, and he has shown that justice and the legal process are terms that he chooses to ignore.

67. Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Preamble: A Lawyer’s Responsibilities 8:

“The legal profession has a responsibility to assure that its regulation is undertaken in the public interest rather than in furtherance of parochial or self-interested concerns of the bar, and to insist that every lawyer both comply with its minimum disciplinary standards and aid in securing their observance by other lawyers. Neglect of these responsibilities compromises the independence of the profession and the public interest which it serves.”

68. Brooks Barfield has only self-interest.

69. Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.02. Minimizing the Burdens and Delays of Litigation:

“In the course of litigation, a lawyer shall not take a position that unreasonably increases the costs or other burdens of the case or that unreasonably delays resolution of the matter.”

70. Brooks Barfield has denied HUNTER’s right to a speedy trial for over 500 days.

71. **Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.02. Minimizing the Burdens and Delays of Litigation - Unreasonable Delay 3:**

“Dilatory practices indulged in merely for the convenience of lawyers bring the administration of justice into disrepute and normally will be “unreasonable” within the meaning of this Rule. See also Rule 1.01(b) and (c) and paragraphs 6 and 7 of the Comment thereto.”

72. Brooks Barfield has denied HUNTER’s right to a speedy trial for over 500 days.

73. **Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.08. Lawyer as Witness**

“(a) A lawyer shall not accept or continue employment as an advocate before a tribunal in a contemplated or pending adjudicatory proceeding if the lawyer knows or believes that the lawyer is or may be a witness necessary to establish an essential fact on behalf of the lawyer’s client, unless:

- (1) the testimony relates to an uncontested issue;
- (2) the testimony will relate solely to a matter of formality and there is no reason to believe that substantial evidence will be offered in opposition to the testimony;
- (3) the testimony relates to the nature and value of legal services rendered in the case;
- (4) the lawyer is a party to the action and is appearing pro se; or
- (5) the lawyer has promptly notified opposing counsel that the lawyer expects to testify in the matter and disqualification of the lawyer would work substantial hardship on the client.

(b) “A lawyer shall not continue as an advocate in a pending adjudicatory proceeding if the lawyer believes that the lawyer will be compelled to furnish testimony that will be substantially adverse to the lawyer’s client, unless the client consents after full disclosure.”

(c) “Without the client’s informed consent, a lawyer may not act as advocate in an adjudicatory proceeding in which another lawyer in the lawyer’s firm is prohibited by paragraphs (a) or (b) from serving as advocate. If the lawyer to be called as a witness could not also serve as an advocate under this Rule, that lawyer shall not take an active role before the tribunal in the presentation of the matter.”

74. Brooks Barfield will be an important witness in this case.

75. **Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 4.01. Truthfulness in Statements to Others:**

“In the course of representing a client a lawyer shall not knowingly:

- (a) make a false statement of material fact or law to a third person; or

(b) fail to disclose a material fact to a third person when disclosure is necessary to avoid making the lawyer a party to a criminal act or knowingly assisting a fraudulent act perpetrated by a client.”

76. Brooks Barfield has made false statements of material facts or laws.

77. **Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 4.01. Truthfulness in Statements to Others – Comment -- False Statements of Fact:**

1. Paragraph (a) of this Rule refers to statements of material fact. Whether a particular statement should be regarded as one of material fact can depend on the circumstances. For example, certain types of statements ordinarily are not taken as statements of material fact because they are viewed as matters of opinion or conjecture. Estimates of price or value placed on the subject of a transaction are in this category. Similarly, under generally accepted conventions in negotiation, a party’s supposed intentions as to an acceptable settlement of a claim may be viewed merely as negotiating positions rather than as accurate representation of material fact. Likewise, according to commercial conventions, the fact that a particular transaction is being undertaken on behalf of an undisclosed principal need not be disclosed except where non-disclosure of the principal would constitute fraud.

2. A lawyer violates paragraph (a) of this Rule either by making a false statement of law or material fact or by incorporating or affirming such a statement made by another person. Such statements will violate this Rule, however, only if the lawyer knows they are false and intends thereby to mislead. As to a lawyer’s duty to decline or terminate representation in such situations, see Rule 1.15.

78. Brooks Barfield has committed these violations.

79. **Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 4.04. Respect for Rights of Third Persons**

(a) In representing a client, a lawyer shall not use means that have no substantial purpose other than to embarrass, delay, or burden a third person, or use methods of obtaining evidence that violate the legal rights of such a person.

Comment: 1. Although in most cases a lawyer’s responsibility to the interest of his client is paramount to the interest of other persons, a lawyer should avoid the infliction of needless harm.

80. Brooks Barfield has inflicted needless harm.

81. **Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 8.03. Reporting Professional Misconduct:**

(a) Except as permitted in paragraphs (c) or (d), a lawyer having knowledge that another lawyer has committed a violation of applicable rules of professional conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects, shall inform the appropriate disciplinary authority.

(b) Except as permitted in paragraphs (c) or (d), a lawyer having knowledge that a judge has committed a violation of applicable rules of judicial conduct that raises a substantial question as to the judge's fitness for office shall inform the appropriate authority.

82. Brooks Barfield has knowledge that Judge Dan L. Schaap has committed a violation of applicable rules of judicial conduct that raises a substantial question as to the judge's fitness for office shall inform the appropriate authority.

83. Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 8.03. Reporting

Professional Misconduct Comment:

“Self-regulation of the legal profession requires that members of the profession initiate disciplinary investigations when they have knowledge not protected by Rule 1.05 that a violation of these rules has occurred. Lawyers have a similar obligation with respect to judicial misconduct. Frequently, the existence of a violation cannot be established with certainty until a disciplinary investigation has been undertaken. Similarly, an apparently isolated violation may indicate a pattern of misconduct that only a disciplinary investigation can uncover. Consequently, a lawyer should not fail to report an apparent disciplinary violation merely because he cannot determine its existence or scope with absolute certainty. Reporting a violation is especially important where the victim is unlikely to discover the offense.”

84. Brooks Barfield has failed to initiate disciplinary investigations regarding attorneys and judges.

85. Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 8.04. Misconduct:

“(a) A lawyer shall not:

(1) violate these rules, knowingly assist or induce another to do so, or do so through the acts of another, whether or not the violation occurred in the course of a client-lawyer relationship;

(2) commit a serious crime or commit any other criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects;

(3) engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation;

(4) engage in conduct constituting obstruction of justice;

(6) knowingly assist a judge or judicial officer in conduct that is a violation of applicable rules of judicial conduct or other law;

(12) violate any other laws of this state relating to the professional conduct of lawyers and to the practice of law.”

86. Brooks Barfield has violated these rules; has engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation; has engaged in conduct constituting obstruction of

justice; has knowingly assisted a judge or judicial officer in conduct that is a violation of applicable rules of judicial conduct or other law; and has violated other laws of this state relating to the professional conduct of lawyers and to the practice of law.

87. Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 8.04. Misconduct

Comment:

“1. All lawyers are presumed to know the requirements of these sources. Rule 8.04(a)(1) provides a partial list of conduct that will subject a lawyer to discipline.

2. Many kinds of illegal conduct reflect adversely on fitness to practice law. Crimes subject to compulsory discipline are governed by TRDP, Part VIII. In addition, although a lawyer is personally answerable to the entire criminal law, a lawyer should be professionally answerable only for criminal acts that indicate a lack of those characteristics relevant to the lawyer’s fitness for the practice of law. A pattern of repeated criminal acts, even ones of minor significance when considered separately, can indicate indifference to legal obligations that legitimately could call a lawyer’s overall fitness to practice into question. See TRDP, Part VIII; Rule 8.04(a)(2).

88. Brooks Barfield has committed criminal acts. He is not fit for the practice of law.

This 24th day of November, 2021,

**Marcie Schreck, Attorney-in-Fact
for Hunter Tyler Schreck**
6302 Oakcrest Lane
Amarillo, Texas 79109
254-651-7078
StarSchreck7@outlook.com

EXHIBIT C

Marcie Schreck

6302 Oakcrest Lane, Amarillo, Texas 79109, 254-651-7078
StarSchreck7@gmail.com

October 12, 2021

Mr. W. Brooks Barfield
901 S Polk Street
Amarillo, Texas 79101

Dear Mr. Barfield:

You are terminated as counsel to Hunter Tyler Schreck. You filed a Motion Suggesting Incompetency against our expressed wishes and without notice or discussion with us.

Please file these motions:

Notice of Withdrawal of Motion Suggesting Incompetency and Proposed Order

Notice of Withdrawal of W. Brooks Barfield as Counsel

Please immediately provide the complete file of anything related to Hunter Tyler Schreck.

Sincerely,

Marcie Schreck

Hunter Tyler Schreck

EXHIBIT 16

CAUSE NO. 30487A

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

HUNTER TYLER SCHRECK

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

OF RANDALL COUNTY TEXAS

47TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF W. BROOKS BARFIELD

TO THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW THE DEFENDANT, Hunter Tyler Schreck ("Hunter" or "DEFENDANT"), and hereby files this Notice of Termination of W. Brooks Barfield.

1. Mr. W. Brooks Barfield has theoretically represented the DEFENDANT since 1/12/2021.

2. Mr. W. Brooks Barfield responded to an email from Marcie Schreck informing him that the DEFENDANT had been diagnosed with a severe traumatic brain injury he suffered from an assault. Mr. W. Brooks Barfield asked that Marcie bring Hunter in to his office on 9/8/2021, so he could lay eyes on him. Hunter and Marcie Schreck BOTH went to his office. Mr. W. Brooks Barfield never spoke to Hunter, never addressed Hunter, and never tried to engage Hunter. This was very upsetting to Hunter, and he told his mother that Mr. W. Brooks Barfield wasn't working in his best interest and that he did not want him. We were not even invited into his private office; we "spoke" in the lobby. I asked him if he considered Hunter incompetent. W. Brooks Barfield said, "NO." He said with a diagnosis of Severe TBI, Hunter wasn't a candidate for Mental Health Court.

3. Mr. W. Brooks Barfield has done nothing in this case until 9/20/2021 when he filed a Motion Suggesting Incompetency. This was filed without discussion with the

10/12/21 FILED 1:03pm
JOEL FORBIS DISTRICT CLERK
RANDALL COUNTY, TEXAS
[Signature]

DEFENDANT or his mother. This was filed against the expressed notice to Mr. W. Brooks Barfield that no such attempts were to be made. This was filed 12 days after Mr. W. Brooks Barfield told the **DEFENDANT** and his mother that he did not consider him incompetent. This was filed when Mr. W. Brooks Barfield has never even heard the **DEFENDANT'S** voice.

4. The **DEFENDANT** cannot trust Mr. W. Brooks Barfield. The **DEFENDANT** refuses to work with Mr. W. Brooks Barfield.

5. The **DEFENDANT** terminated Mr. W. Brooks Barfield on October 12, 2021.

[EXHIBIT 2.]

This 12 day of October, 2021,



Hunter Tyler Schreck
6302 Oakcrest Lane
Amarillo, Texas 79109
254-651-7078
StarSchreck7@gmail.com



Marci Schreck
Power of Attorney
6302 Oakcrest Lane
Amarillo, Texas 79109
254-651-7078
StarSchreck7@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I **HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent to:

Mr. W. Brooks Barfield
901 S Polk Street
Amarillo, Texas 79101

Randall County District Attorney's Office
2309 Russell Long Boulevard, Suite 120
Canyon, Texas 79015

This 12 day of October, 2021.



Hunter Tyler Schreck
6302 Oakcrest Lane
Amarillo, Texas 79109
254-651-7078
StarSchreck7@gmail.com



Marcie Schreck
Power of Attorney
6302 Oakcrest Lane
Amarillo, Texas 79109
254-651-7078 - StarSchreck7@gmail.com

EXHIBIT 19



Marcie Schreck <starschreck7@gmail.com>

Hunter / Marcie Schreck asking for complete file

3 messages

Marcie Schreck <starschreck7@gmail.com>

Wed, Oct 13, 2021 at 8:59 AM

To: Barfield Law Firm <barfieldlawfirm@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Barfield, we both Hunter & Marcie Schreck are asking one more time, will you turn over give to us "the Complete Case" file by Friday October 15, 2021? I will drive to pick it up please let me know if it will be available for on this date? I'm giving you the opportunity many times to provide this to us! Or else we will have to file motions for you to produce! Thanks Sincerely Marcie/ Hunter Schreck

hello <barfieldlawfirm@gmail.com>

Thu, Oct 14, 2021 at 12:18 AM

To: Marcie Schreck <starschreck7@gmail.com>

Dear Ms. Schreck,

I can not legally release my file to you. My legal obligation is to Hunter. In addition, The Michael Morton Act prohibits my releasing certain information contained in a criminal file.

You should be aware, I reported to the Judge, the prosecutor and the District Clerk that you filed pleadings purportedly prepared by me with my name on them without my permission. I will leave it to the authorities to determine how to deal with that situation.

I was appointed by the court to represent Hunter. I can not release a file to a third party non-attorney. Generally the courts are not at all accepting for client's to "cherry pick" appointed counsel. In this situation it is not even the client making the request.

I believe you had Hunter execute and you filed with the District Clerk a fraudulent legal document. Based on my interaction and observations of Hunter in my office, he did not appear to me to be mentally competent to assist in his defense. He did not appear to have the capacity to interact or speak with me during our meeting in my office. Nor did he appear to even comprehend where he was. Based on these observations, it was my legal obligation to Hunter to obtain a competency determination. Consequently, I filed a motion for a competency evaluation by a psychiatrist to make that determination. I advise once the court orders the time and place of the evaluation, Hunter attend. If he does not attend he will be in violation of a court order. As I explained to you in my office if Hunter is dealing with biological mental issues that make it impossible for him to formulate criminal intent based on non-psychiatric maladies, then we can certainly use that to his benefit.

Your actions in my office this week as reported to me by my staff are not acceptable and frightened our staff. I have given the staff instructions as to how to deal with another situation like that if I am not present.

Hunter, if he is able, is more than welcome to visit with me to clear up any confusion or impressions on my part concerning his mental state and ability to assist in a defense. In fact, I welcome the opportunity to meet with him individually. Please understand I have Hunter's best legal interest in mind. I welcome a family member's assistance in any case; however, I do not have to have it to effectively do my job.

10/15/21, 12:59 PM

Email - Hunter / Marcie Schreck asking for complete file.

Sincerely,

W. Brooks Barfield Jr.

Sent from Mail for Windows

[Quoted text hidden]

Barfield Law Firm <barfieldlawfirm@gmail.com>
To: Marcie Schreck <starschreck7@gmail.com>

Fri, Oct 15, 2021 at 12:52 PM

Please see attached the Order for examination regarding the defendant's incompetency. Hunter Schreck is ordered by the Judge to submit to the examination by appearing at the Randall County Jail, 9100 S Georgia, Amarillo TX on October 20, 2021 at 1:00 o'clock P.M.

W. Brooks Barfield Jr.

BARFIELD LAW FIRM

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

The information contained in this e-mail and in any attachment (s) is legally protected pursuant to the Electronic Communication Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510, et seq, may contain privileged and confidential information and may be protected by attorney/client and work product privileges. This communication is intended only for the use of each individual named above. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or duplication of this e-mail is prohibited.

[Quoted text hidden]

 30487A ORDER FOR EXAMINATION.pdf
326K

EYHSIT 26

CAUSE NO. 30487A

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

HUNTER TYLER SCHRECK

§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

OF RANDALL COUNTY TEXAS

4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTICE OF FILING POWER OF ATTORNEY

TO THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW THE DEFENDANT, Hunter Tyler Schreck ("Hunter" or "DEFENDANT"), and hereby files this Power of Attorney.

1. The DEFENDANT terminated court-appointed attorney W. Brooks Barfield on October 12, 2021.

2. The DEFENDANT has previously given Power of Attorney to his mother, Marcie Schreck. The DEFENDANT now has additional needs, so he executed and files this new Power of Attorney.

This 16th day of October, 2021,

Tyler
Hunter Tyler Schreck

**Hunter Tyler Schreck
6302 Oakcrest Lane
Amarillo, Texas 79109
254-651-7078
StarSchreck7@gmail.com**

Marcie Schreck

**Marcie Schreck
Power of Attorney
6302 Oakcrest Lane, Amarillo, Texas 79109
254-651-7078, StarSchreck7@gmail.com**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent to:

**Randall County District Attorney's Office
2309 Russell Long Boulevard, Suite 120
Canyon, Texas 79015**

This 16th day of October, 2021,



**Hunter Tyler Schreck
6302 Oakcrest Lane
Amarillo, Texas 79109
254-651-7078
StarSchreck7@gmail.com**



**Marcie Schreck
Power of Attorney
6302 Oakcrest Lane
Amarillo, Texas 79109
254-651-7078 - StarSchreck7@gmail.com**

STATUTORY DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY

I, Hunter Tyler Schreck appoint Marcie Schreck, 6302
Oakcrest Lane, Amarillo, Texas 79109, as my agent to act for me in
any lawful way with respect to all of the following powers that I have
initialed below.

- (A) Real property transactions;
- (B) Tangible personal property transactions;
- (C) Stock and bond transactions;
- (D) Commodity and option transactions;
- (E) Banking and other financial institution transactions;
- (F) Business operating transactions;
- (G) Insurance and annuity transactions;
- (H) Estate, trust, and other beneficiary transactions;
- (I) Claims and litigation;
- (J) Personal and family maintenance;
- (K) Benefits from social security, Medicare, Medicaid, or
other governmental programs or civil or military service;
- (L) Retirement plan transactions;
- (M) Tax matters;
- (N) Digital assets and the content of an electronic
communication;

HTS (O) ALL OF THE POWERS LISTED IN (A) THROUGH (N).
YOU DO NOT HAVE TO INITIAL THE LINE IN FRONT OF ANY
OTHER POWER IF YOU INITIAL LINE (O).

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

HTS (P) My agent is entitled to reimbursement of reasonable
expenses incurred on my behalf but shall receive no compensation
for serving as my agent.

ON THE FOLLOWING LINES YOU MAY GIVE SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS LIMITING OR EXTENDING THE POWERS GRANTED TO YOUR AGENT.

HTS (Q) My agent is entitled to act for me in any lawful way with respect to criminal charges against me;

HTS (R) My agent is entitled to act for me in any lawful way with respect to assisting me in my pro se representation in court;

HTS (S) My agent is entitled to act for me in assisting me in any legal matters regarding my competency;

HTS (T) My agent is entitled to act for me in assisting me in any questioning by law enforcement, courts, or their agents.

THIS POWER OF ATTORNEY IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY AND WILL CONTINUE UNTIL IT TERMINATES.

This power of attorney is not affected by my subsequent disability or incapacity.

Signed this 16th day of October, 2021.

Hunter Tyler Schreck

State of Texas
County of Randall

This document was acknowledged before me on the 16th day of October, 2021 by Hunter Tyler Schreck.



Latasha Mitchener
Notary

(Seal, if any, of notary)

Latasha Mitchener

(Printed Name of Notary)

EF 10/15/21 28

From: Marcie Schreck <starschreck7@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Oct 15, 2021 at 6:09 PM
Subject: Hunter Schreck case
To: Barfield Law Firm <barfieldlawfirm@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Barfield, Both Hunter & I are distressed greatly by a number of things in your email. We are not going to discuss at this time. However, we will discuss this in the courts. There is something we want to discuss with you Mr. Barfield to make it absolutely clear that you are terminated ! You are not representing Hunter Schreck. You are to do nothing but provide the complete case file as Hunter and I have requested. You are not to speak to the Judge or his assistant. You are not to speak to the Clerk of Courts staff. You are not to speak to anyone on the Da's staff. You are to file nothing. You are to make no appearances. Upon meeting on Sept 8, 2021 Hunter and I both came to speak about the case. You did not make conversation, you did not speak to Hunter or even try to engage him in conversation. You glanced at Hunter one time for a few seconds and never looked his way again. As soon as we left, Hunter told me "YOU CAN NOT BE TRUSTED", HUNTER NEVER WANTS TO SEE YOU AGAIN , YOU ARE NOT IN HIS BEST INTEREST, Hunter told me he does not want you representing him! Hunter terminates you! We have continually ask to release case file, we were told by Samantha your secretary that she would release documents but would redact names EXCEPT the first letter of the last name. I called repeatedly asking when I could come down to pick them up. We were ignored and no response. Thank you. Sincerely Marcie Schreck parent advocate for Hunter because of his impairments. P.S. I put an email to you stating that Hunter had given me POA and that he had signed affidavit through the ARC as his supporter to be with him at all doctors appointments, social security appointments including exams,etc. Sincerely Marcie Schreck

REGISTER OF ACTIONS
CASE No. 30487A

EXHIBIT 321

THE STATE OF TEXAS Vs. HUNTER TYLER SCHRECK

§
§
§
§
§

Case Type: Adult Felony
Date Filed: 10/20/2021
Location: 47th District Court

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant SCHRECK, HUNTER TYLER
AMARILLO, TX 79114-3144
SID: TX18687097
Male White
DOB: 02/18/1994
6' 4", 210 lbs
Attorneys
W. BROOKS BARFIELD
Court Appointed
806-468-9500(W)
State THE STATE OF TEXAS
CANYON, TX 79015
Other

CHARGE INFORMATION

Charges: SCHRECK, HUNTER TYLER
1. ASSAULT PEACE OFFICER/JUDGE
2. ASSAULT PEACE OFFICER/JUDGE
Statute 22.01(b-2)
22.01(b-2)
Level Second Degree Felony
Second Degree Felony
Date 06/24/2020
06/24/2020

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS
06/25/2020 MAGISTRATE'S WARNING
ASSAULT PEACE OFFICER/JUDGE
06/25/2020 MAGISTRATE'S WARNING
ASSAULT PEACE OFFICER/JUDGE
06/25/2020 EXPLANATION OF RIGHT TO AN ATTORNEY
06/29/2020 BOND
BAIL BONDS BY JUDY \$7,500
06/29/2020 BOND
BAIL BONDS BY JUDY \$7,500
07/02/2020 COMPLAINT FILED
APD NO WARRANT
07/22/2020 Indictment - (OCA)
07/22/2020 ORDER TRANSFERRING INDICTMENTS
01/12/2021 ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL
BARFIELD
01/12/2021 FINANCIAL INFORMATION STATEMENT
01/13/2021 NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH C.C.P. ART. 39.14
01/14/2021 STATE'S REQUEST FOR NOTICE OF DEFENDANT'S EXPERTS
09/29/2021 MOTION
SUGGESTING INCOMPETENCY
09/29/2021 PROPOSED ORDER
ON MOTION SUGGESTING INCOMPETENCY - SENT TO JUDGE SCHAAP
09/30/2021 UNSIGNED ORDER (RETURNED BY JUDGE)
FOR EXAMINATION REGARDING INCOMPETENCY
10/12/2021 NOTICE
OF TERMINATION OF W. BROOKS BARFIELD
10/12/2021 NOTICE
OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION SUGGESTING MENTAL INCOMPETENCY FILED 9/29/2021
10/14/2021 ORDER FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINATION
10/15/2021 PRO SE FILING
NOTICE OF UNAVAILABILITY - SENT TO THE 47TH
10/15/2021 PRO SE FILING
NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION SUGGESTING MENTAL INCOMPETENCY FILED 9/29/2021 - SENT TO THE 47TH
10/15/2021 PRO SE FILING
REQUEST FOR HEARING ON APPLICATIONS FOR DEPOSITION OF WITNESSES - SENT TO THE 47TH
10/15/2021 PRO SE FILING
MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND TO COMPEL W. BROOKS BARFIELD TO DELIVER EVIDENCE AND CASE FILE TO THE PRO SE
DEFENDANT - SENT TO THE 47TH
10/15/2021 PRO SE FILING
APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF NATHAN CARRINGTON AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT - SENT TO THE 47TH
10/15/2021 PRO SE FILING
APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF ROLONDA CARRINGTON AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT - SENT TO THE 47TH
10/15/2021 PRO SE FILING
APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF CHRISTIAN MORGAN AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT - SENT TO THE 47TH
10/15/2021 PRO SE FILING
APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF CHRIS BOROUGHS AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT - SENT TO THE 47TH
10/15/2021 PRO SE FILING
APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF ANGELICA ESPARZA AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT - SENT TO THE 47TH
10/15/2021 PRO SE FILING

10/15/2021 **APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF BRYAN ZUBINATE AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT - SENT TO THE 47TH**
PRO SE FILING
APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF ADRIANA CORTEZ AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT - SENT TO THE 47TH

10/15/2021 **PRO SE FILING**
APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF MARIAH ROSE MURILLO AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT - SENT TO THE 47TH

10/15/2021 **PRO SE FILING**
APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF NYAH GONZALEZ AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT - SENT TO THE 47TH

10/17/2021 **MOTION**
EMERGENCY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER DATED OCTOBER 14, 2021 AND REQUEST FOR AN EMERGENCY HEARING PRIOR TO OCTOBER 20, 2021

10/18/2021 **APPLICATION**
for Deposition of Nataley Carrington and Affidavit In Support.

10/18/2021 **PROPOSED ORDER**
On Motion for Application for Deposition of Nataley Carrington sent to 47th 10.18.21

10/18/2021 **NOTICE**
OF FILING POWER OF ATTORNEY

10/18/2021 **MOTION**
SECOND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND TO COMPEL W. BROOKS BARFIELD TO DELIVER EVIDENCE AND CASE FILE TO PRO SE DEFENDANT

10/18/2021 **PRO SE FILING**
STATUTORY DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY

10/18/2021 **APPLICATION**
FOR DEPOSITION OF SHEA LICHTIE AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT

10/18/2021 **PROPOSED ORDER - E-FILED**
ON SECOND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND TO COMPEL W. BROOKS BARFIELD TO DELIVER EVIDENCE AND CASE FILE TO THE PRO SE DEFENDANT - SENT TO JUDGE SCHAAP

10/18/2021 **PROPOSED ORDER**
ON MOTION FOR APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF SHEA LICHTIE SENT TO 47TH 10.18.21

10/18/2021 **PETITION**
FOR DISCLOSURE OF GRAND JURY MATERIAL AND REQUEST FOR HEARING

10/18/2021 **APPLICATION**
FOR DEPOSITION OF DANIEL RIVERA AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT

10/18/2021 **APPLICATION**
FOR DEPOSITION OF SAMANTHA FONTENOT AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT

10/18/2021 **PROPOSED ORDER - E-FILED**
ON PETITION FOR DISCLOSURE OF GRAND JURY MATERIAL - SENT TO JUDGE SCHAAP

10/18/2021 **Filed Exhibit/s**
EXHIBIT 9

10/18/2021 **PROPOSED ORDER - E-FILED**
ON MOTION FOR APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF DANIEL RIVERA - SENT TO JUDGE SCHAAP

10/18/2021 **Filed Exhibit/s**
EXHIBIT 9

10/18/2021 **PROPOSED ORDER - E-FILED**
ON MOTION FOR APPLICATION FOR DEPOSITION OF SAMANTHA FONTENOT - SENT TO JUDGE SCHAAP

10/18/2021 **Filed Exhibit/s**
EXHIBIT 9

10/18/2021 **MOTION**
TO SUPPRESS

10/19/2021 **NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH C.C.P. ART. 39.14**

10/19/2021 **PROPOSED ORDER - E-FILED**
ON MOTION TO SUPPRESS - SENT TO JUDGE SCHAAP

10/19/2021 **NOTICE**
Notice-of-Withdrawal-of-Applications-for-Depositions-filed-10-15-2021

10/19/2021 **APPLICATION**
FOR DEPOSITION OF BRAYAN ZUBINATE AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT AND PROPOSED ORDER

10/19/2021 **APPLICATION**
FOR DEPOSITION OF CHRIS BOROUGHS AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT AND PROPOSED ORDER

10/19/2021 **APPLICATION**
FOR DEPOSITION OF ANGELICA ESPARZA AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT AND PROPOSED ORDER

10/19/2021 **MOTION**
Defendants-Amended-Motion-for-Jury-to-Set-Punishment

10/19/2021 **ORDER**
ALL COMMUNICATIONS, PLEADINGS, OR OTHER MATTERS MUST BE MADE THROUGH COUNSEL

10/20/2021 **ORDER SEALING RECORDS**

10/20/2021 **COMPETENCY EVALUATION REPORTS (OCA)**

10/21/2021 **NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH C.C.P. ART. 39.14**
SUPPLEMENTAL

10/26/2021 **NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH C.C.P. ART. 39.14**
SUPPLEMENTAL

11/02/2021 **MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL**

11/02/2021 **PROPOSED ORDER**
ON MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL SENT TO JUDGE SCHAAP

11/02/2021 **LETTER FROM JUDGE**
TO MS. SCHRECK

11/10/2021 **ORDER**
OF REFERRAL ON MOTION TO RECUSE

11/15/2021 **ORDER OF ASSIGNMENT BY THE PRESIDING JUDGE**

11/15/2021 **NOTICE**
OF HEARING ON MOTION TO RECUSE

11/16/2021 **NOTICE OF HEARING**



Marcie Schreck <starschreck7@gmail.com>

HUNTER 30

(no subject)

1 message

Barfield Law Firm <barfieldlawfirm@gmail.com>
To: Starschreck7@gmail.com

Tue, Aug 24, 2021 at 9:14 PM

Thank you for contacting me. I will have my office contact you tomorrow to set up an appointment for you to bring Hunter into the office to speak with me.

On Tue, Aug 24, 2021, 8:11 PM Marcie Schreck <noreply@barfieldlawfirm.net> wrote:

Name

Marcie Schreck

Email

Starschreck7@gmail.com

Phone

(254) 651-7078

Question or Comment

Dear Attorney Barfield, I have made multiple phone calls asking you to call. We tried to reach you the end of July before we left the state seeking medical care for Hunter, to see if it was okay to leave state. We didn't see any certain provisions prohibiting this. I want to bring to your attention the fact, Hunter has signed legal document with The Arc Texas (for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities) "Supported Decision -Making Agreements". Due to his cognitive, mental disabilities Hunter gave us Power of Attorney as well. To help him understand, obtain and understand information, communicate their decisions to the appropriate people. We received message from Billy Maples bondsman " Hunter, please confirm that you will be at the hearing on August 27, 2021 at 11:30 am RC 47th District Court, make sure to be in touch with your attorney". Then zoom link was the posted as well. Please contact Marcie / Hunter Schreck 254-651-7078 email: starschreck7@gmail.com. Thanks Mr. Barfield.