## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 1:11-CV-1922-TWT

MAID OF THE MIST CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 1:11-CV-1923-TWT

JAMES N. HATTEN, et al.,

Defendants.

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,

Plaintiff,

v.

**CIVIL ACTION FILE** 

NO. 1:11-CV-2027-TWT

THOMAS WOODROW THRASH, et

al.,

Defendants.

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION FILE

NO. 1:11-CV-2326-TWT

CHRISTOPHER HUBER, et al., Defendants.

## <u>ORDER</u>

This is a pro se civil action against the Clerk of this Court and various Judges of this Court and the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals and others. The Court notes that in a related case where the Plaintiff's appeal was dismissed as frivolous, the Court of Appeals described the Plaintiff's abuse of the judicial system as follows:

[The Plaintiff's ] litigious behavior [has] undermined the integrity of the judgments and orders in this case. Although the case is closed, Windsor has repeatedly filed unsubstantiated, duplicative pleadings, many after the district court issued an order denying them. Moreover, his pleadings are long and repetitive, and the volume of his filings poses a burden to clerical and judicial operations and is an impediment to the administration of justice.

The Plaintiff has requested that the Clerk issue 119 summons to effectuate service upon the Defendants in these cases. The Court previously found it necessary to issue an injunction against the Plaintiff filing new cases because of the Plaintiff's

extraordinary abuse of the federal judicial system by repeatedly filing frivolous, malicious and vexatious lawsuits against the judges assigned to his many cases, because of the burden to clerical and judicial operations caused by his voluminous frivolous filings, and because his continuing course of conduct has become an impediment to the administration of justice. At the hearing on the motion by the United States for an injunction, the government introduced evidence from Mr. Windsor's web site in which he declares his intention to use lawsuits in a campaign of harassment of and retaliation against the federal judiciary. This purpose is also evident in the reckless, malicious and scurrilous accusations that are littered throughout Mr. Windsor's voluminous papers. The administration of justice will suffer irreparable harm if the Plaintiff is allowed to continue pursuing frivolous, malicious and vexatious lawsuits against the judges and others involuntarily involved in his litigious campaigns. Before the Clerk issues any summons naming a federal judge or employee of the federal courts (including the United States Attorney's Office), the Plaintiff is ordered to post a \$50,000.00 cash bond or corporate surety bond acceptable to the Clerk for each federal judge or employee of the federal courts to be served sufficient to satisfy any award of Rule 11 sanctions. Any attempt by the Plaintiff to serve a federal judge or employee of the federal courts without complying with this Order may be treated as contempt of court.

SO ORDERED, this 26 day of October, 2011.

/s/Thomas W. Thrash THOMAS W. THRASH, JR. United States District Judge