IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

William M. Windsor, Plaintiff)
Plamun) CIVIL ACTION NO.
V.) 2011cv206243
Fulton County, Office of the Fulton County)
District Attorney, Paul Howard, Jr., Cynthia)
Nwokocha, Naomi Fudge, Rebecca Keel,)
Waverly Settles, Lieutenant English, Deputy Betts,)
Deputy Roye, Steve Broadbent, and Unknown)
Does,)
Defendants)
	_)

SEVENTH AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST JAMES N. HATTEN ANNIVA SANDERS, BEVERLY GUTTING, JOYCE WHITE, MARGARET CALLIER FOR OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CONSPIRACY

- I, William M. Windsor, the undersigned, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:
- 1. My name is William M. Windsor ("Windsor"). I am over the age of 21, am competent to testify, and have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein.
 - 2. I am the Plaintiff in this action, and I am representing myself pro se.
- 3. I am not an attorney. I cannot find an attorney who will sue judges, and I can no longer afford an attorney. All of my money (approximately \$1,500,000.00)

has been "stolen" through corrupt litigation in the federal courts in Fulton County, Georgia.

- 4. In an effort to do the best possible job as a pro se party, I have studied the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules, the Georgia Code of Professional Conduct for Attorneys, the Official Code of Georgia Annotated, certain federal statutes, the Federal Rules of Judicial Procedure, the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, the Uniform Rules of the Superior Court, the Procedures of the Fulton County Superior Court, and case law.
- 5. James N. Hatten, Clerk of the Court for the United States District
 Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Anniva Sanders, Beverly Gutting,
 Joyce White, Margaret Callier, and others have conspired to obstruct justice and
 deny me and others of our due process rights under the Constitution of Georgia and
 the United States by intentionally and knowingly committing the crimes of
 obstruction of justice.
- 6. Hatten and his employees (Sanders, Gutting, White, and Callier) are obligated to file motions, documents, and affidavits that are presented to them for filing. But in my cases, they have failed to make my filings part of the record of the court, and many of my filings have not been shown on the dockets.

- 7. This activity is by definition in violation of Obstruction of Justice -18 U.S.C. § 1503; and as such, said violations also constitute predicate act crimes
 and prove violation of the RICO statute of the State of Georgia, O.C.G.A. 16-14-1
 et seq.
- 8. On September 23 2010, October 18 2010, October 22 2010, October 26 2010, November 5 2010, November 10 2010, November 19 2010, December 2 2010, April 11 2011, April 28 2011, June 24 2011, June 27 2011, June 29 2011, July 1 2011, July 5 2011, July 7 2011, July 11 2011, July 20 2011, July 21 2011, July 22 2011, July 28 2011, August 1 2011, August 5 2011, August 8 2011, and on other dates, Hatten and his employees did not allow properly filed documents from me into the record in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. I can prove each of these with a copy of the cover letter, the documents, and the courier's proof of delivery and the signature of the Clerk showing receipt.
- 9. As anyone reviewing the documents can conclude, these filings were critical to me, and I have had one lawsuit dismissed as a result claiming I failed to file a response. But I did file a response, but Hatten and his staff have hidden it.
- 10. I have been denied the right to have these documents considered, and I have lost the benefit of these filings on appeal. For Hatten to knowingly ignore his ministerial duty to docket documents filed by me, he has obstructed justice, committed fraud upon the courts, and damaged me.

- 11. When this wrongdoing was brought to the attention of Hatten, he intentionally ignored it. Because of the strenuous efforts to address this issue and the rejection of these efforts by Hatten, I can further demonstrate this was not error but intentional reckless, criminal, and conspiratorial acts.
- 12. I learned from the couriers with Courier Connection, who deliver my documents for filing to the Clerk of the Court, that the Deputy Clerks were not file stamping the documents upon receipt; they were stamping Post-Its. They would then remove the Post-Its to change dates or if it was decided that my documents would not be docketed. I was able to obtain a copy of one motion that was returned without any file stamp or Post-It, and one with a stamped Post-It was sent to me as well. Exhibits 1 and 2 are true and correct copies of these documents.
 - 13. Upon information and belief, documents have been tampered with.
- 14. I have evidence that proves docket entries were backdated. I will be able to prove a significant amount of this once Hatten is compelled to produce Notices of Electronic Filing that he has refused to allow me to see.
- of the documents presented for filing, cover letters listing the documents, proof of receipt by the Office of the Clerk of the Court, docket print-outs showing the documents were not docketed, affidavits from the couriers who delivered the documents and obtained signed delivery receipt, and my affidavit. A separate

Affidavit is available with more detail, exhibits, and relevant documents are on CD-ROM.

FURTHER SAITH AFFIANT NOT.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

Executed this 3rd day of October 2011.

William M. Windsor