

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

William M. Windsor,)	
Plaintiff)	
)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
v.)	
)	2011cv206243
Fulton County, Office of the Fulton County)	
District Attorney, Paul Howard, Jr., Cynthia)	
Nwokocha, Naomi Fudge, Rebecca Keel,)	
Waverly Settles, Lieutenant English, Deputy Betts,)	
Deputy Roye, Steve Broadbent, and Unknown)	
Does,)	
Defendants)	
)	

FIFTH AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR
CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST JUDGE WILLIAM S. DUFFEY FOR
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CONSPIRACY

I, William M. Windsor, the undersigned, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

1. My name is William M. Windsor (“Windsor”). I am over the age of 21, am competent to testify, and have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein.
2. I am the Plaintiff in this action, and I am representing myself pro se.
3. I am not an attorney. I cannot find an attorney who will sue judges, and I can no longer afford an attorney. All of my money (approximately \$1,500,000.00) has been “stolen” through corrupt litigation in the federal courts in Fulton County, Georgia.

4. In an effort to do the best possible job as a pro se party, I have studied the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules, the Georgia Code of Professional Conduct for Attorneys, the Official Code of Georgia Annotated, certain federal statutes, the Federal Rules of Judicial Procedure, the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, the Uniform Rules of the Superior Court, the Procedures of the Fulton County Superior Court, and case law.

5. Judge William S. Duffey, judge in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, and others have conspired to obstruct justice and deny my due process rights under the Constitution of Georgia and the United States by intentionally and knowingly committing the crime of obstruction of justice.

6. This is by definition a violation of Obstruction of Justice -- 18 U.S.C. § 1503; and as such, said violations also constitute predicate act crimes and prove violation of the RICO statute of the State of Georgia, O.C.G.A. § 16-14-1 et seq.

7. The Defendants filed Motions to Dismiss in Civil Action No. 1:09-CV-02027-WSD ("MIST-2") – Docket #130 and Docket #131. I was required by court order to file a Response by August 18, 2010. This July 8, 2010 order clearly states that "Windsor shall have until August 18, 2010 to respond" and "Windsor may file

his response to Rule 12 motions, if any, without requesting specific approval to do so.” [Order of July 8, 2011 -- Docket #115, P.1 ¶1, P.2 ¶1.]

8. I filed motions for an extension of time to respond due to eye surgery; these were filed on July 21, 2010 (Docket #127), August 6, 2010 (Docket #135), and August 12, 2010 (Docket #139). Judge Duffey ignored all of these motions until approximately 12:25 pm on August 18, 2010 when he knew I would have had to stay up all night preparing the responses. [Order dated August 18, 2010 -- Docket #140.] I did stay up all night, and a courier picked up my responses and delivered them to the Clerk of the Court the morning of August 18, 2010. Docket #142 and Docket #144 are those responses. Docket #144 is clearly a response to the Motion to Dismiss. On Page 1, it states: “This response will be referred to as RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT.” It also references the Response to the Motion to Dismiss of Judge Evans and identifies Docket #144 as a Response. (P. 3, ¶4.) Docket #142 is clearly a Response. It is titled “RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS OF USA AND JUDGE ORINDA D. EVANS,” and the first paragraph says: “William M. Windsor hereby files this RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS OF USA AND JUDGE ORINDA D. EVANS AND A MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND (‘Response to Judge Evans’).” [Docket #142, P.1 ¶1.] Docket #142, P.29 ¶9 also states: “The response

to the so-called “Motion to Dismiss” of the other Defendants is incorporated herein.”

9. I received an email at 10:33 am on September 23, 2010 from counsel for Judge Evans. It advised me that an order had been issued by Judge Duffey in MIST-2, and it attached a copy. (Docket #150.) The order of September 23, 2010 is false and constitutes obstruction of justice. Judge Duffey falsely claims that I did not submit a September 1 Report, but I did. I submitted it on August 31. (I have exhibits to prove this.)

10. Judge Duffey then issued an October 19, 2010 order dismissing the case claiming that I did not file a response. [MIST-2 Docket #161, P.12 ¶1.] Judge Duffey was well aware that I filed a response. He even acknowledged so in the October 19, 2010 order stating “This court now turns to the two pending motions to dismiss and Windsor’s partial response.” [MIST-2 Docket #161, P.12 ¶2.] Yet he later claims “Because Windsor failed to respond to that motion to dismiss, it will be granted.” [MIST-2 Docket #161, P.12 ¶2.]

11. In addition to the responses that I filed on August 18, 2010, I filed a motion to deny the Maid of the Mist Defendants’ ability to file a Motion to Dismiss on July 21, 2010. [Docket #119] The Docket shows that this motion was submitted to Judge Duffey for action on August 26, 2010 (Docket entry unnumbered after #148.) The Docket shows that Judge Duffey never ruled on this

motion, which he was obligated to do before ruling on the Motions to Dismiss.

[1:09-CV-02027-WSD Docket.]

12. By dismissing the case, Judge Duffey made a lawsuit vanish that had been filed against his friend, Judge Orinda D. Evans, and the law firm and attorneys with Hawkins Parnell.

13. I can further demonstrate this was not error but an intentional reckless, criminal, and conspiratorial act. He dismissed the case by committing perjury claiming that documents had not been filed or provided when he knew they had been.

14. Detailed proof of this wrongdoing is available in copies of the documents presented for filing, cover letters listing the documents, proof of receipt by the Office of the Clerk of the Court, docket print-outs showing the documents were not docketed, affidavits from the couriers who delivered the documents and obtained signed delivery receipt, and my affidavit. A separate Affidavit is available with more detail and exhibits, and relevant documents are on CD-ROM.

FURTHER SAITH AFFIANT NOT.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

Executed this 3rd day of October 2011.

William M. Windsor

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

William M. Windsor,)	
Plaintiff)	
)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
v.)	
)	2011cv206243
Fulton County, Office of the Fulton County)	
District Attorney, Paul Howard, Jr., Cynthia)	
Nwokocha, Naomi Fudge, Rebecca Keel,)	
Waverly Settles, Lieutenant English, Deputy Betts,)	
Deputy Roye, Steve Broadbent, and Unknown)	
Does,)	
Defendants)	
)	

SIXTH AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR
CHARGES AGAINST ORINDA D. EVANS RELATING TO
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, PERJURY, AND CONSPIRACY

I, William M. Windsor, the undersigned, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

1. My name is William M. Windsor (“Windsor”). I am over the age of 21, am competent to testify, and have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein.
2. I am the Plaintiff in this action, and I am representing myself pro se.
3. I am not an attorney. I cannot find an attorney who will sue judges, and I can no longer afford an attorney. All of my money (approximately \$1,500,000.00) has been “stolen” through corrupt litigation in the federal courts in Fulton County, Georgia.

In an effort to do the best possible job as a pro se party, I have studied the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules, the Georgia Code of Professional Conduct for Attorneys, the Official Code of Georgia Annotated, certain federal statutes, the Federal Rules of Judicial Procedure, the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, the Uniform Rules of the Superior Court, the Procedures of the Fulton County Superior Court, and case law.

4. Judge Orinda D. Evans has conspired with Judge William S. Duffey, other judges, employees of the Office of the Clerk of the Court, and others to deny me and others of our due process rights under the Constitution of Georgia and the United States by intentionally and knowingly committing the crimes of perjury and obstruction of justice.

5. This activity is by definition in violation of Georgia state laws: Perjury – O.C.G.A. § 16-10-70; and federal laws: False Swearing – Making False Statements – 18 U.S.C. § 1001; Perjury – 18 U.S.C. § 1621; Obstruction of Justice -- 18 U.S.C. § 1503; and as such, said violations also constitute predicate act crimes and prove violation of the RICO statute of the State of Georgia, O.C.G.A. § 16-14-1 et seq.

6. On August 29, 2005, a lawsuit was filed against me by Maid of the Mist. There were 50 sworn paragraphs in the Verified Complaint, and they were

all false. I obtained deposition testimony from the three senior managers of Maid of the Mist, and they admitted the entire Verified Complaint was false. (See Third Declaration of William M. Windsor (I have exhibits that document and prove this.) (Ruddy Deposition Transcript, Glynn Deposition Transcript, Schul Deposition Transcript.)

7. Judge Evans was informed of this in February 2007 at a hearing in chambers. (February 2, 2007 Hearing Transcript.)

8. But on August 9, 2007, Judge Evans allowed the statements into the record that she knew were false. (See Third Declaration of William M. Windsor.) (I have exhibits that document and prove this.) When these false statements were brought to the attention of Judge Evans, she intentionally ignored the evidence. As anyone reviewing the record can conclude, this information was critical, and Maid of the Mist's entire lawsuit failed as a result.

9. Judge Evans knowingly disregarded and intentionally ruled contrary to the evidence and the record. She suborned perjury and conspired with Plaintiffs' Attorney to obstruct justice, commit fraud upon the courts, and damage me and my co-defendants. Judge Evans has issued a significant number of orders that contain perjury, including a Preliminary Injunction Order and a Summary Judgment Order.

10. I attempted repeatedly to have Judge Evans correct her ruling only to have all efforts stonewalled. The record will show that she repeatedly claimed there was no evidence when the evidence was overwhelming. Because of my strong efforts to address this issue and the rejection of these efforts by Judge Evans, I can prove her rulings were not judicial error but intentional reckless, criminal, and conspiratorial acts as further defined by the statutes. I can also demonstrate that the judges with the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit located in Fulton County Georgia similarly ignored the overwhelming evidence.

11. Detailed proof is available in copies of court orders, transcript of a hearing, affidavits, depositions of Plaintiffs' witnesses, and sentence-by-sentence analysis with citations to page and line number prepared by me. A separate Affidavit is available with more detail, exhibits, and relevant documents are provided on CD-ROM.

FURTHER SAITH AFFIANT NOT.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

Executed this 3rd day of October 2011.

William M. Windsor

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

William M. Windsor,)
Plaintiff)
) CIVIL ACTION NO.
v.)
) 2011cv206243
Fulton County, Office of the Fulton County)
District Attorney, Paul Howard, Jr., Cynthia)
Nwokocha, Naomi Fudge, Rebecca Keel,)
Waverly Settles, Lieutenant English, Deputy Betts,)
Deputy Roye, Steve Broadbent, and Unknown)
Does,)
Defendants)
_____)

SEVENTH AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR
CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST JAMES N. HATTEN ANNIVA
SANDERS, BEVERLY GUTTING, JOYCE WHITE, MARGARET
CALLIER FOR OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CONSPIRACY

I, William M. Windsor, the undersigned, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

1. My name is William M. Windsor (“Windsor”). I am over the age of 21, am competent to testify, and have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein.
2. I am the Plaintiff in this action, and I am representing myself pro se.
3. I am not an attorney. I cannot find an attorney who will sue judges, and I can no longer afford an attorney. All of my money (approximately \$1,500,000.00)

has been “stolen” through corrupt litigation in the federal courts in Fulton County, Georgia.

4. In an effort to do the best possible job as a pro se party, I have studied the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules, the Georgia Code of Professional Conduct for Attorneys, the Official Code of Georgia Annotated, certain federal statutes, the Federal Rules of Judicial Procedure, the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, the Uniform Rules of the Superior Court, the Procedures of the Fulton County Superior Court, and case law.

5. James N. Hatten, Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Anniva Sanders, Beverly Gutting, Joyce White, Margaret Callier, and others have conspired to obstruct justice and deny me and others of our due process rights under the Constitution of Georgia and the United States by intentionally and knowingly committing the crimes of obstruction of justice.

6. Hatten and his employees (Sanders, Gutting, White, and Callier) are obligated to file motions, documents, and affidavits that are presented to them for filing. But in my cases, they have failed to make my filings part of the record of the court, and many of my filings have not been shown on the dockets.

7. This activity is by definition in violation of Obstruction of Justice -- 18 U.S.C. § 1503; and as such, said violations also constitute predicate act crimes and prove violation of the RICO statute of the State of Georgia, O.C.G.A. 16-14-1 et seq.

8. On September 23 2010, October 18 2010, October 22 2010, October 26 2010, November 5 2010, November 10 2010, November 19 2010, December 2 2010, April 11 2011, April 28 2011, June 24 2011, June 27 2011, June 29 2011, July 1 2011, July 5 2011, July 7 2011, July 11 2011, July 20 2011, July 21 2011, July 22 2011, July 28 2011, August 1 2011, August 5 2011, August 8 2011, and on other dates, Hatten and his employees did not allow properly filed documents from me into the record in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. I can prove each of these with a copy of the cover letter, the documents, and the courier's proof of delivery and the signature of the Clerk showing receipt.

9. As anyone reviewing the documents can conclude, these filings were critical to me, and I have had one lawsuit dismissed as a result claiming I failed to file a response. But I did file a response, but Hatten and his staff have hidden it.

10. I have been denied the right to have these documents considered, and I have lost the benefit of these filings on appeal. For Hatten to knowingly ignore his ministerial duty to docket documents filed by me, he has obstructed justice, committed fraud upon the courts, and damaged me.

11. When this wrongdoing was brought to the attention of Hatten, he intentionally ignored it. Because of the strenuous efforts to address this issue and the rejection of these efforts by Hatten, I can further demonstrate this was not error but intentional reckless, criminal, and conspiratorial acts.

12. I learned from the couriers with Courier Connection, who deliver my documents for filing to the Clerk of the Court, that the Deputy Clerks were not file stamping the documents upon receipt; they were stamping Post-Its. They would then remove the Post-Its to change dates or if it was decided that my documents would not be docketed. I was able to obtain a copy of one motion that was returned without any file stamp or Post-It, and one with a stamped Post-It was sent to me as well. Exhibits 1 and 2 are true and correct copies of these documents.

13. Upon information and belief, documents have been tampered with.

14. I have evidence that proves docket entries were backdated. I will be able to prove a significant amount of this once Hatten is compelled to produce Notices of Electronic Filing that he has refused to allow me to see.

15. Detailed proof of a wide variety of wrongdoing is available in copies of the documents presented for filing, cover letters listing the documents, proof of receipt by the Office of the Clerk of the Court, docket print-outs showing the documents were not docketed, affidavits from the couriers who delivered the documents and obtained signed delivery receipt, and my affidavit. A separate

Affidavit is available with more detail, exhibits, and relevant documents are on CD-ROM.

FURTHER SAITH AFFIANT NOT.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

Executed this 3rd day of October 2011.


William M. Windsor