



Judicial Council of the 11th Circuit

COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

To begin the complaint process, complete this form and prepare the brief statement of facts described in item 5 (below). The RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States, contain information on what to include in a complaint (Rule 6), where to file a complaint (Rule 7), and other important matters. The rules are available in federal court clerks' offices, on individual federal courts' Web sites, and on www.uscourts.gov.

Your complaint (this form and the statement of facts) should be typewritten and must be legible. For the number of copies to file, consult the local rules or clerk's office of the court in which your complaint is required to be filed. Enclose each copy of the complaint in an envelope marked "COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT" or "COMPLAINT OF DISABILITY" and submit it to the appropriate clerk of court. **Do not put the name of any judge on the envelope.**

1. Name of Complainant: William M. Windsor

Contact Address: 3924 Lower Roswell Road, Marietta, GA 30068

Daytime telephone: (770) 578-1094

2. Name(s) of Judge(s): Judge Thomas W. Thrash

Court: United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division.

3. Does this complaint concern the behavior of the judge(s) in a particular lawsuit or lawsuits?

Yes No

If "yes," give the following information about each lawsuit:

Court: United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division.

Case Number: 1:11-CV-01922-TWT, 1:11-CV-01923-TWT, 1:11-CV-02027-TWT, 1:11-CV-02326-TWT

Docket number of any appeal to the Circuit: 11-13215-C and others where number is unknown

Are (were) you a party or lawyer in the lawsuit?

Party Lawyer Neither

If you are (were) a party and have (had) a lawyer, give the lawyer's name, address, and telephone number:

4. Have you filed any lawsuits against the judge?

Yes No

If "yes," give the following information about each such lawsuit:

Court: Fulton County Superior Court -- illegally removed to NDGa

Case Number: 1:11-CV-02027-TWT and 1:11-CV-02326-TWT

Present status of lawsuit: Pending before Judge Thrash!\$%\$#!

Name, address, and telephone number of your lawyer for the lawsuit against the judge:

Court to which any appeal has been taken in the lawsuit against the judge: 11th Circuit

Docket number of the appeal: 11-13215-C and others where number is unknown

Present status of the appeal: Pending

5. Brief Statement of Facts. Attach a brief statement of the specific facts on which the claim of judicial misconduct or disability is based. Include what happened, when and where it happened, and any information that would help an investigator check the facts. If the complaint alleges judicial disability, also include any additional facts that form the basis of that allegation.

See attached.

6. Declaration and signature:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements made in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

(Signature)  (Date) 8-1-11

**Brief Statement of Facts – Judicial Complaint:
Judge Thomas Woodrow Thrash**

Judge Thrash is guilty of conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts. He has treated me in a hostile manner, violated Canons 1, 2, and 3 the Code of Conduct, had improper motives, has committed crimes, has violated numerous Rules and statutes. Misconduct took place from June 2011 to the present.

1. Exhibits 1-4 are the dockets in each of these actions, providing dates.
2. Key issues and exhibits are detailed in Exhibit 5, pages 3 to 4 in 1:11-CV-01922-TWT; Exhibit 5, pages 4 to 6 in 1:11-CV-01923-TWT; Exhibit 5, page 6 in 1:11-CV-02027-TWT; and Exhibit 5, page 7 on 1:11-CV-02326-TWT and in Exhibits 21, 22, 23, 24, 26.
3. In each case, Judge Thrash had no jurisdiction. (See Exhibits 6, 7, 8, and 9.)
4. In each case, Judge Thrash announced that he had decided the case when the only evidence before him was my sworn affidavits/verifications. Exhibits 10, 11, 12, 13 are these orders.
5. In each case, Judge Thrash entered orders without giving me the opportunity to file responses. (See Exhibits 1-4.)
6. In each case, Judge Thrash has improperly foreclosed my access to the court. Judge Thrash issued four preliminary injunctions without giving me notice or the opportunity to be heard. (See Exhibits 10, 11, 12, 13.)
7. In each case, Judge Thrash has ignored my motions such as the motions to deny removal. (01922 Docket #5.) The similar motion that I presented to the Clerk for filing in the other actions were not even allowed to be filed. (See Exhibits 2, 3, 4.)
8. On June 15, 2011, Judge Thrash denied a hearing on a request for a TRO and denied the motion for TRO in 01922. Judge Thrash stated in his June 15, 2011 Order Denying

12. The Docket in 02326 shows that Judge Thrash has blocked the filing of EVERYTHING that I have presented to the Clerk for filing. I submitted numerous motions to the Clerk for filing prior to the entry of the July 22, 2011 order denying me the ability to file. The motions that I can prove were signed for by the Clerk include Motion to Vacate Notice of Removal, Motion for Remand, Motion to Recuse Judge Thrash, and a Motion to Request a Set Aside Orders due to Fraud Upon the Courts. (See Exhibits 17, 18, 19, 20, and 9.)

13. I filed motions to recuse Judge Thrash in each action. Judge Thrash failed to rule on the motions and failed to file half of them. (See Exhibits 1-4 and Exhibits 21, 22, 23, 24.)

14. Civil Actions 2011-02027 and 02326 name Judge Thrash as a Defendant, and he has the most personal of interests as I seek to have him found liable for tens of millions of dollars in damages, and I am seeking to have him indicted, convicted, imprisoned, and disgraced. Judge Thrash has ignored calls to have him recuse himself and has blocked a 28 U.S.C. 292 motion.

15. Judge Thrash has no jurisdiction in any of the four actions. (See Exhibits 6-9.)

16. Judge Thrash never made a determination as to whether the Court had jurisdiction in these removed actions. Not only did Judge Thrash fail to meet this requirement, but Judge Thrash ignored my four motions to deny removal and refused to allow my four motions for remand to be filed.

17. Judge Thrash allowed illegal, defective notices of removal to remove cases from Fulton County Superior Court. Judge Thrash failed to review the notices of removal and failed to rule on jurisdiction. Judge Thrash allowed the U.S. Attorney to file motions allegedly for Defendants, but required filings and authorizations have not been made. Judge Thrash denied me the right to respond to motions filed by the U.S. Attorney. Judge Thrash ordered that I could not file anything with the Court, and he issued this injunction without notice or a hearing and

without even giving me the time to respond to the U.S. Attorney's motion. Judge Thrash conspired with the Office of the Clerk of the Court to cause my documents presented for filing to disappear. My properly presented motions and affidavits have not been filed. Judge Thrash denied my right to file Motions for Remand. He simply refused to allow them to be filed. Judge Thrash has ignored my motions for recusal.

18. Judge Thrash ordered a hearing on the U.S. Attorney's motion to modify the PROTECTIVE ORDER in 01923 that blocked me from filing anything. Judge Thrash then refused to allow me to respond to the motion. He refused to allow me to file a motion seeking to have subpoenas issued for witnesses needed for the hearing. He issued an order denying me the ability to call any witnesses, and he restricted me to 100 sheets of paper brought into the courthouse, and armed guards enforced that at the entrances to the courthouse where my 8x10 color photo was placed. Judge Thrash refused my right to make objections. He refused to allow me to be sworn in so his testimony would be on the record. He refused to rule on the objections that I made during the hearing. Before I gave my argument, I asked Judge Thrash if an order had already been written deciding the motion even before my presentation was heard. Judge Thrash snapped and said he was not going to answer any questions. Following my presentation after a few comments between the judge and the U.S. Attorney, after never leaving the bench, Judge Thrash leaned to his left and read the order. Exhibit 25 is an affidavit from Jeff Goolsby, one of the many in the courtroom who saw that the judge was totally biased and had already written the order.

19. On July 22, 2011, Judge Thrash violated my First Amendment rights to free speech and probably committed mail theft and interference with private communications via the U.S. mail by interfering with letters mailed to federal employees. (See Exhibit 16.)

20. The Orders of Judge Thrash contain perjury, were issued to obstruct justice, violate the rules, and ignore the statutes. I can document anything that you would care to see.

21. Judge Thrash has demonstrated pervasive bias, and Judge Thrash's failure to recuse himself is additional grounds for disqualification. A study of pro se cases that Judge Thrash has handled reveals that he has a proven overwhelming bias against pro se plaintiffs. Judge Thrash has an "extra-judicial" bias against pro se parties. According to my review of every case Judge Thrash has handled in his career using www.versuslaw.com, no pro se plaintiff has ever won in Judge Thrash's court; 90% of pro se cases are dismissed, and 10% are defeated at summary judgment; no pro se plaintiff has ever received a jury trial

22. I filed appeals of the injunctions on filing restrictions, but Judge Thrash ignored the appeals. He held a hearing and issued the permanent injunction in a case that was on appeal for his illegal preliminary injunction order. (See Exhibits 1-4.)

23. On July 14, 2011, I presented a verified complaint to the Clerk for filing. Judge Thrash kept the complaint from being filed and then issued an order the next day blocking me from filing any lawsuits. (See Exhibit 26 and Exhibit 15.)

24. On July 22, 2011, Judge Thrash backdated an order and denied filing of documents presented for filing prior to the order. In 1:11-CV-02326-TWT, many motions were presented for filing prior to an order requiring approval to file, and none were filed. (Exh. 27.)

25. Judge Thrash has violated just about every right to due process that there is. Fairness is not even a word in Judge Thrash's vocabulary with me.

26. Please do not respond with your standard order that claims there is no evidence as there is massive evidence, and it will just further prove your corruption. I am posting this on www.LawlessAmerica.com, and I am sending it to the House and Senate Judiciary Committees.

