IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S.D.C Atlanta
AUG 20
JAMES MATTEN, Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

> No. 1:03-CR-131-CC-CCH-AJB EMERGENCY HEARING REQUESTED

SCOTT HINTZ, Defendant

MOTION RE: DISCHARGE OF DENNIS C. O'BRIEN FOR CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

NOW COMES Scott Hintz and respectfully requests leave to file: This motion to discharge attorney Dennis C. O'Brien. Mr. O'Brien has pending state criminal court proceedings. Mr. O'Brien refuses to call or provide this Defendant with necessary client file items related to the pending habeas appeal. Mr. O'Brien has tampered with evidence and witnesses directly or through others. Mr. O'Brien has refused to notify this Court of his own criminal case and of other troubling events to interfere with these proceedings and state court proceedings involving Mr. O'Brien or his friends and associates.

Because of his conflicts of interest, Mr. O'Brien refuses to file into the record his own criminal actions, or items furthering the just interests of this Defendant. It has been absolutely necessary for Defendant to inform judges directly; see attached and herein incorporated Exhibit A (opened by one or more judges). Mr. O'Brien has hired his own criminal attorney who contacted this Defendant to request this Defendant make Mr. O'Brien's criminal charges "go away" in exchange for this Defendant's client file materials and information: This Defendant reminded Mr. O'Brien's

Page 1 of 4SH

criminal attorney that Mr. O'Brien cannot hold client file items and this case hostage in order to coerce Defendant from pursuing valid criminal charges against Mr. O'Brien; listen to herein incorporated Youtube audio link: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KrcMGLpcPyc

AFFIDAVIT

I, Scott Hintz, hereby state under the penalty of perjury that the following are true to the best of my knowledge and pursuant to the penalties of perjury pursuant to 28 USC Section 1746:

- (A) The attached **Exhibit A** emails were sent as addressed. I received notification emails to Judge Alan Baverman and Dennis C. O'Brien were opened over this last weekend.
- (B) I understand Judge Alan Baverman and Judge Clarence Cooper are to testify at 1pm on August 22, 2011, in their individual capacities, regarding their personal "off the record" communications with, and personal knowledge regarding, Dennis C. O'Brien pursuant to the delivered subpoenas (see also Exhibit A emails respectfully requesting judges reply).
- (C) The attached **Exhibit B** transcript is of the hearing before Judge Clarence Cooper on July 19, 2011.

Stating the above are true statements to the best of my own personal knowledge, I hereby state the above this 22^{nd} day of August 2011.

Scott Hintz

Conclusion

Based upon all of the above, items already in the record, and additional evidence and testimony readily available for a hearing on these matters, this Court should follow its duty to immediately remove conflicted attorney Dennis C. O'Brien.

This 22nd day of August 2011.

2 3 9 3

Respectfully submitted,

Scott Hintz

6025 Sandy Springs #258 Sandy Springs, GA 30328

(404) 665-3553

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Scott Hintz, certify I have served upon:

AUSA Leslie J. Abrams 600 U.S. Courthouse 75 Spring Street, SW Atlanta, GA 30303

A true copy of the foregoing filing.

This 22nd day of August 2011 by hand-delivering same to AUSA Abrams' office.

Respectfully,

Scott Hintz

of 3 gs

From: Scott Hintz

Date: Mon, 22 Aug 2011 00:15:32 +0000

To: Dennis C. O'Brien<obriendc.attorney@gmail.com>; Dennis C. O'Brien<obriendc1946@yahoo.com>;

Dennis C. O'Brien<obriendc@bellsouth.net>

ReplyTo: Scott Hintz

Cc: U.S. Magistrate Judge Alan Baverman<alan j baverman@gand.uscourts.gov>; U.S. District Judge

Clarence Cooper<clarence cooper@gand.uscourts.gov>; U.S. Magistrate Judge C. Christopher

Hagy<c christopher hagy@gand.uscourts.gov>

Subject: Email from Dennis C. O'Brien's criminal attorney.

Mr. O'Brien.

I received the email below from criminal attorney Lawrence zimmerman. He called me on Friday and informed me that you hired him as your criminal attorney for your pre-arrest hearing tomorrow.

Mr. Zimmerman stated he was going to call you immediately to ensure you provided me a complete copy of my client file materials and information because he agreed that I was entitled to these items. He appeared troubled by your actions.

He also asked me if I would make the criminal charges against you "go away" if he got me my client file materials and information from you. I have not received my client materials as of the time of this email. I also do not think you should hold my case information, and my case" hostage to make your state criminal charges "go away".

Will you be providing me my complete client file materials and information tomorrow in Fulton County Court before your pre-arrest hearing? The materials and information have been specifically requested of you in several certified letters and emails sent to specifically to your attention.

I have not received a call from you, nor have you filed the motion to revisit Judge King's orders that assist you avoid criminal and civil scrutiny in the state courts, since we were before Judge Cooper on July 19, 2011.

Please reply immediately. Your careful 'gatekeeper' role over the record does not appear ethical.

Scott Hintz

From: lawrence zimmerman <lawjzimm@yahoo.com>

Date: Fri, 19 Aug 2011 13:58:08 -0700 (PDT)

To: Scott Hintz

ReplyTo: lawrence zimmerman < lawjzimm@yahoo.com>

Subject: From Lawrence Zimmerman

Dear Scott,

I am representing Dennis C. O'Brien in his case on Monday in the pre-arrest hearing.

Lawrence J. Zimmerman
The Law Offices of Lawrence J. Zimmerman, P.C.
1800 Peachtree Street, Suite 300
Atlanta, GA 30309
(404) 351-3000
www.atlantanotguilty.com

Exhibit A

From: Scott Hintz

Date: Sun, 21 Aug 2011 09:13:20

To: <alan j baverman@gand.uscourts.gov>; <clarence_cooper@gand.uscourts.gov>;

<c christopher hagy@gand.uscourts.gov>

Cc: Scott Hintz
Subject: Urgent Matter

Judges Baverman, Cooper and Hagy:

I, again, appologize for having to send this email, but Dennis C. O'Brien absolutely refuses to address these important matters on the record. I understand my last emails have been delivered in regards to the delivered subpoenas of Alan Baverman (in his individual capacity) and Clarence Cooper (in his individual capacity) requesting testimony Monday, August 22, 2011 at 1pm.

Attached is a copy of the delivered subpoenas for the requested testimony at 1pm for the August 22, 2011 hearing in courtroom 1A of the Fulton County Magistrate Court relating to the criminal allegations brought against attorney Dennis C. O'Brien for his actions OUTSIDE the record of case 1:03-CR-131-CC. To date, Dennis C. O'Brien has NOT allowed any motion or notice into the record of my case to evidence his own threats, conflicts of interest, and his pending criminal charges. On Friday, I was contacted by an attorney claiming to be Dennis C. O'Brien's criminal attorney to try to keep Mr. O'Brien from being arrested on Monday.

This same attorney asked me to make the criminal charges 'go away' against Dennis C. O'Brien and suggested I should instead worry about much bigger and more powerful adversaries. The attorney admitted he works in Judge Alan Baverman's old law office and admitted his relationships with many of the people with whom I have had recent unjust problems. In fact, I was yesterday warned by someone communicating with the adversarial group protecting Dennis C. O'Brien (and those he is protecting) that I should be:

"prepared for mudslinging from them. Accusing you of shit that you never said or did...Do not underestimate your oppenent (sic)."

Dennis C. O'Brien has through email communications, and through other communications with me, and others, admitted having personal conversations with the three of you (including some about me and my case). These items of evidence and related testimony are available to support the content of Dennis C. O'Brien's statements.

Dennis C. O'Brien has refused to call me since Dennis C. O'Brien and I were last before Judge Clarence Cooper during the July 19, 2011 district court hearing (a copy of the transcript can be found at the following internet link:

http://www.scribd.com/doc/62685905/Dennis-C-O-Brien). Additionally, I have offered to meet Dennis C. O'Brien ANY DAY at a local police department --- but Mr. O'Brien has also refused these requests to meet. The police department lobby has been suggested as an appropriate meeting place to due to Mr. O'Brien's threats to me and others. Mr. Dennis C. O'Brien has threatened me and has tried to have me not testify truthfully especially in regards to the illegal and unethical activities of his close friends and associates.

 Exhibit A

of 3 pgs

July 5, 2011 and July 6, 2011 statements of Dennis C. O'Brien show he clearly lied during the July 19, 2011 hearing before Judge Cooper as evidenced in the transcript of the July 19, 2011 hearing available in the paragraph immediately above.

I have specifically requested to proceed Pro Se rather than be forced to use conflicted counsel Dennis C. O'Brien, and I have specifically requested Dennis C. O'Brien submit a motion to revisit Judge King's recent orders which have a likely unintended consequence of protecting my fraudster accusers, and now Dennis C. O'Brien also. The existing order of Magistrate Judge King limits my access to the courts and also prohibits my involvement in investigations and in the questioning of witnesses related to my Pro Se claims against adverse parties in proceedings OUTSIDE of the United States District Court.

Please immediately respond to these important concerns. I respectfully request I be able to communicate directly with the three of you at some time prior to 10 am August 22, 2011 in regards to Dennis C. O'Brien's admitted personal and "off the record" communications with you in regards to me or my court proceedings. These discussions are in regards to matters in which you are witnesses for matters outside the jurisdiction of the United States District Court.

Thank you for your time and consideration of these extremely important matters.

Sincerely, Scott Hintz

Exhibit A

Exhibit B

July 19, 2011 Transcript of Hearing before U.S. District Court Judge Clarence Cooper

Dennis C. O'Brien's own recorded words of July 5-6, 2011 that contradict his statements in the following 48 page transcript can be heard at the Youtube internet links below:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3QggJHkfP2I

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sGHfHMbuNqA

48 pages to follow.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA 2 ATLANTA DIVISION 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 4 5 Indictment No. 1:03-CR-131-CC -vs-6 SCOTT HINTZ, Defendant. 7 8 9 10 Transcript of the Motion to Remove Conflicted Counsel Before the Honorable Clarence Cooper, United States District Court Senior Judge 11 July 19, 2011 Atlanta, Georgia 12 13 14 15 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 16 On behalf of 17 the Defendant: Dennis C. O'Brien, Esq. 18 19 20 21 22 23 Amanda Lohnaas, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter United States District Court 24 Atlanta, Georgia (404) 215-1546 25

2 (Tuesday, July 19, 2011, 11:30 a.m.) 1 THE COURT: Thank you, please be seated. Court is 2 now in session. And good morning. 3 MR. O'BRIEN: Good morning, Judge. 4 THE COURT: Good morning. 5 6 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning. THE COURT: This is the case of the United States of 7 8 America versus Scott Hintz. MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, he pronounces it Hintz 9 10 (different pronunciation). THE COURT: Hintz, thank you. The hearing today 11 concerns Defendant's motion to have his attorney Dennis O'Brien 12 removed as counsel and Defendant's renewed request to proceed 13 14 pro se. unjust Smear campaign begins here
The record in this case reflects that Defendant has a 16 history of having problems or conflicts with attorneys both 17 that he has retained and appointed to him by the Court. During the course of the underlying criminal proceedings and the 18 direct appeal, Defendant had a total of four different 19 attorneys: Mark Kadish, Lynn Fant, Derek Jones, and Michael 20 21 Saul. Although I have number four written it's really five 22 when I counted, one, two, three, four, the fifth would be Sandra Michaels, that's been brought to my attention. During 23 the course of the current revocation proceedings, Defendant has 24

had three different attorneys: Brian Mendelsohn of the Federal ** picked by Alan Bawerman ** appointed by Alan Bawerman SH

1	Defender Program here in the Northern District of Georgia;
2	Cynthia Roseberry of the Federal Defender Program of the Middle
3	District of Georgia; and his present attorney, Dennis O'Brien.
4	Dissatisfied with the representation being provided
5	by Brian Mendelsohn, Defendant previously moved the Court to
6	have Mr. Mendelsohn removed and to proceed pro se, but then at
7	a hearing before the Court on June 13th, 2011, stated that his
8	preference was not to proceed pro se but to have the Court
9	appoint him substitute counsel. Koutside of Georgia
10	Defendant also stated that he felt forced to proceed
11	pro se because of his perceived inability to obtain
12	conflict-free counsel. Defendant stated emphatically that his
13	waiver of counsel was not knowing and voluntary. The Court
14	denied Defendant's request to proceed pro se but allowed
15	Defendant's former attorney, Brian Mendelsohn, to withdraw from
16	representation.
17	At Defendant's request to have an attorney from
18	outside the Northern District of Georgia appointed to represent
19	him, an attorney from the Middle District of Georgia, Cynthia
20	Roseberry, was appointed. Within a week, however, Defendant
21	sought the removal of Ms. Roseberry for alleged conflicts and
22	Ms. Roseberry asked that her office be relieved of the
23	representation due to a conflict of interest, the difficulties
24	of dealing with Defendant, and the financial burden placed on
25	counsel. Ms. Roseberry was relieved of the appointment, and

1	Magistrate Judge Hagy appointed Dennis O'Brien.
2	Defendant now requests that attorney Dennis O'Brien
3	be removed from representing him and that he be allowed to
4	proceed pro se. As the Court stated in its order entered on
5	June 13th, 2011, Defendant does not have a constitutional right
6	to proceed pro se in these revocation proceedings, and the
7	Court has numerous concerns with permitting Defendant to
8	proceed pro se. Among them include Defendant's history of
9	filing redundant motions and notices, which interfere with the
10	orderly administration of justice, and Defendant's inability to
11	focus on relevant issues when appearing before the Court in
12	person and in writing.
13	The Court has set this hearing today to gain a
14	greater understanding of the issues that have arisen between
15	Defendant and Mr. O'Brien and to give fair and thorough
16	consideration to Defendant's renewed request to proceed pro se.
17	Having provided that background and set forth the
18	Court's concerns, the Court will now like to hear from
19	Defendant with respect to his motion to have attorney Dennis
20	O'Brien removed as counsel and Defendant's renewed request to
21	proceed pro se. Mr. Hintz, you may come forward.
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: And stand at the podium, which is
24	directly in front of you. Excuse me one minute.
25	(Pause in the proceedings.)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Scott.
2	THE DEFENDANT: Hintz, yes.
3	THE COURT: Hintz, yes, go ahead, Mr. Hintz.
4	THE DEFENDANT: Judge Cooper, as a preliminary
5	matter, have you been made aware of the filing that was
6	presented to the clerk this morning in this case?
7	THE COURT: I'm not aware of that but that's not the
8	issue. The issue
9	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, it's specifically in
LO	regard to these proceedings. I've got a copy here if you would
L1	like to
12	THE COURT: You might pass it up, just give it to me.
13	Give it to the court security officer. Thank you.
L 4	THE DEFENDANT: Judge
15	THE COURT: Wait just one minute.
16	THE DEFENDANT: Certainly.
L7	THE COURT: Go ahead.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Judge Cooper, last time we were here,
19	June 13th, 2011, I had requested the tapes for the transcripts
20	because I noticed that there was a couple of mistakes in the
21	transcripts. I haven't received any of the recordings of the
22	last June 13th hearing.
23	Additionally, during that hearing Mr. Mendelsohn said
24	that he did not have a conflict of interest and that he wasn't
25	scared of you. 5th

1	THE COURT: Mr. Hintz
2	THE DEFENDANT: Well, Your Honor, because it goes to
3	how
4	THE COURT: Please
5	THE DEFENDANT: how
6	THE COURT: Please focus on your motion, please.
7	Just go to your motion.
8	THE DEFENDANT: Sir
9	THE COURT: You brought a motion.
10	THE DEFENDANT: These are items that I believe
11	THE COURT: Mr
12	THE DEFENDANT: are
13	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, one at a time,
14	please.
15	THE COURT: Let's do it right. I want to hear from
16	you regarding the motion. Just argue your motion, please.
17	THE DEFENDANT: Judge Cooper, that's what I was
18	trying to get to because
19	THE COURT: Mr. Hintz
20	THE DEFENDANT: the conflict of interest
21	THE COURT: Let's go on with your motion. Let's
22	argue your motion.
23	THE DEFENDANT: Well, the motion here states, and we
24	had evidence that Brian Mendelsohn stated Judge Baverman has a
25	financial interest in Defendant's underlying case and Judge

SH

1	Cooper has been involved in ex parte communications. I have
2	that in the filing to remove conflicted counsel Dennis O'Brien.
3	Now, Mr. O'Brien, right outside court here just a
4	moment ago, stated that our conversations likely got hacked
5	into and that our conversations were on the Internet. I
6	believe that Your Honor has already listened to that evidence
7	that was presented into the court. Is that correct?
8	THE COURT: No.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, it's actually in the
LO	filing for the hearing that we're doing today and, Your Honor,
1	I had asked that we have recording equipment or a computer for
_2	Internet or testimony so that I could provide evidence that
L3	Mr. Cooper I'm sorry, Mr. O'Brien has specifically stated
_ 4	that he is very good friends with an adverse party, adverse
L5	witness in this case that has likely done some illegal
6	activities, which was brought to Your Honor's attention at the
.7	last hearing.
L8	THE COURT: Who is this adverse person?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Sir, it was Judge I'm sorry, Jerry
20	Froelich.
21	THE COURT: Jerry Froelich?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Judge Cooper. And during the
23	last hearing we talked about a subpoena.
24	THE COURT: Let me ask you this, I want to make sure.
2.5	Do you understand that the petition filed to revoke your

supervised release was brought by the government of the United 1 States and not Mr. Jerry Froelich? Do you understand that? 2 Judge Cooper --3 THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: I'm going to hear from you. First of 4 5 all, do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I understand that the movant was 6 7 Mr. Froelich and he brought to the attention of the government false statements and has provided a false and fraudulent 8 9 affidavit into the record of this case. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that at the 11 hearing as relates to the petition brought to revoke your 12 supervised release, that that was brought by the government of 13 the United States and not Mr. Froelich? Do you understand that Mr. Froelich would not be a part of that hearing? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: Judge Cooper, it appears he is because he was able to get a subpoena that during the last 16 17 hearing you said you have no idea how he was able to get, and it was based off of that subpoena that these proceedings have 18 gone forward. It's also based off of Mr. Froelich's threats 19 and some of his associates' threats that are tied with 20 organized crime that these proceedings were brought forward 21 with his knowingly false statements that have been evidenced, 22 23 and had I been allowed to present evidence into the court I would have been able to bring testimony and other evidence to 24 25 prove that exactly what I'm saying is true. SH

1	THE COURT: Let me ask you this.
2	THE DEFENDANT: Again, those have been foreclosed.
3	THE COURT: Do you believe or feel that Mr. O'Brien
4	should be disqualified from representing you because of his
5	friendship with attorney Jerry Froelich?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Judge Cooper, pursuant to this, what
7	I wanted was based off of his admitted conflicts of interest.
8	He has admitted that
9	THE COURT: Let me ask you this. You're saying it
LO	would be a conflict of interest for Mr. O'Brien to represent
1	you in the matter pending before this Court because of his
2	relationship or friendship with Jerry Froelich?
L3	THE DEFENDANT: Judge Cooper, that would just be one
L 4	of numerous conflicts of interest and inadequacies in
15	representation.
L6	THE COURT: How is the conflict of interest let me
L7	hear from you.
L8	THE DEFENDANT: Certainly, sir. I had let the Court
L9	know before in the past attorney Mark Kadish had said that in
20	the past members of this court and attorneys that are prominent
21	in this district, some of them have received bribes and
22	blackmail, and because of those issues it's possible and quite
23	likely and probably would be able to be proven if we brought
24	some people under sworn testimony that you would see that
25	Mr. O'Brien has financial conflicts of interest in this case, \mathcal{SH}

- 1 he has personal conflicts of interest in this case.
- 2 And additionally, when I had contacted him initially
- 3 right after he was appointed we started discussing the case and
- 4 he very -- I told him that there was some problems with the
- 5 preliminary hearing. His own words were he didn't know what a
- 6 preliminary hearing was, which is real troubling because that's
- 7 part of a revocation proceeding.
- Additionally, I explained to him that a preliminary
- 9 hearing and revocation proceeding is a probable cause hearing.
- 10 He replied by stating he didn't believe that there's such a
- thing as probable cause hearings in revocation proceedings.
- 12 For somebody that's practiced 35 years before this
- court it's quite troubling that he wouldn't know the basics
- that somebody that's not an attorney that's trying to represent
- 15 himself pro se would know some more, and more about revocation
- 16 proceedings than an alleged 35-year veteran of this court.
- 17 Those things are troubling.
- 18 Additionally, since we did an investigation and found
- out he had a lot of conflicts, besides that, he had told me
- 20 that he had never had a case with Judge Baverman. I have found
- 21 out since then that that was a lie he told me.
- He has told me that in his 35 years of practicing
- 23 that he has never talked to Mark Kadish even once and that also
- 24 appears to be false.
- THE COURT: You're alleging that he's a friend of

- 1 Mark Kadish despite the fact he tells you he's only talked to 2 Mr. --
- 3 THE DEFENDANT: Mr. O'Brien has admitted he's very
- 4 good friends with a judge that was involved in the My Lai
- 5 Massacre here in Fort Benning, which is what brought Judge --
- 6 I'm sorry, attorney Mark Kadish on to the map. Additionally,
- 7 back when Mark Kadish was my attorney, Mark Kadish discussed
- 8 how his influence was able to help Your Honor and some other
- 9 people in this court with their positions and they discussed
- some things that appeared to be questionable and to date I've
- 11 never been able to bring that evidence before the Court.
- 12 THE COURT: Let's set that aside, let's deal with
- 13 Mr. Froelich.
- 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Judge Cooper.
- THE COURT: You're claiming that Mr. O'Brien and
- 16 Mr. Froelich -- Mr. O'Brien and Mr. Froelich are good friends.
- 17 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not alleging that; that was out
- of his own mouth. I believe the words were "He's a very good
- 19 friend of mine."
- 20 THE COURT: And you feel he's in a conflicted
- 21 situation --
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: -- because of --
- 24 THE DEFENDANT: Because I'm alleging -- and you've
- agreed we have no idea how Mr. Froelich got a subpoena.

1	Mr. Mendelsohn has told me specifically that he believes it's
2	illegal because he's not a party to any proceedings. So if his
3	very good friend has done illegal things in this case and has
4	presented a false and fraudulent affidavit and is a witness in
5	this case, yes, that is a conflict because one of his very good
6	friends would he'd have to allege criminal activity to
7	defend me in this case and I don't think that he would do that.
8	Now, we've had numerous I've tried to have
9	numerous conversations since I brought to his attention his
10	lies to me and the numerous conflicts of interest. He's
11	refused.
12	THE COURT: What lies has he told you?
13	THE DEFENDANT: A couple of lies he's told me is that
14	he's never had a case with Judge Baverman.
15	THE COURT: Well, how many cases has he had with
16	Mr. Baverman that you know?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Several. Actually, I'd like to have
18	Judge Baverman here because maybe he would be able to state
19	under oath that Mr. O'Brien's statement is incorrect.
20	THE COURT: What else has he lied about?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Also lied about never having talked
22	to Mark Kadish ever.
23	THE COURT: And you were able to find out he had

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

24

25

talked to him before?

THE COURT: How were you able to find that out? 1 THE DEFENDANT: By people who have been at mutual 2 3 social events. THE COURT: What else did he lie about? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: He also lied about saying that he was going to provide all the discovery items to me, and that was 6 back, I believe it was on July 6, he said that he would be 7 providing them to me immediately. I sent to him probably about 8 30 to 40 e-mails since this that have been acknowledged as 9 10 received by him through his assistant and also through e-mail notification. He's disregarded the e-mails, not returned them. 11 12 I brought to his attention some of the conflicts of interest. I brought to his attention the lies that he's told 13 14 He had told me that he was going to report the crimes of 15 his very good friends to the prosecuting attorneys or the government. To the best of my knowledge he hasn't done that. 16 17 I've asked every day to have the name, contact number 18 of who he's contacted to say that Jerry Froelich and some of 19 his friends have committed illegal acts specific to these proceedings. He's never returned a single call. The one time 20 I did get through to him, he hung up on me and refused to talk 21 to me on the phone. He's completely broke down the 22 communication, refuses to discuss some of the pertinent items. 23 There's one meeting that he had set up and it was at 24 2:00 when he knew I had a conflict of interest. I requested it 25 SH

1	be rescheduled or done for some other time. He refused to do
2	so, refused to provide me discovery which I wanted another
3	attorney to review, and has completely refused to give me a
4	call back in regards to the defense of the case.
5	Additionally, he's refused to e-mail me discovery.
6	asked, if he can't e-mail it, maybe he can make copies and
7	overnight it to me. He's refused to do that. I've sent an
8	e-mail, if you can make copies I'll come to your office and
9	pick them up. He refused to do that. Still today as I stand
10	before you today he still hasn't provided me with items the
11	government provided to him nor has he provided important
12	questions or issues I presented to him.
13	He also said that he was going to try to advocate for
14	subpoenas to my accusers. To my knowledge, and Your Honor
15	could probably answer this, has he called you to date to
16	advocate for any of the subpoenas of the record in this case?
17	THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Is it your
18	contention that you feel that Mr. O'Brien cannot fairly,
19	justly, and aggressively represent your interests in the case
20	pending before this Court?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Judge Cooper, I think that's a
22	limited I think that he is unable. He has shown he has an
23	unfamiliarity with the law and the proceedings, of revocation
24	proceedings. He didn't even know what a preliminary hearing
25	was, as evidenced by his own words, didn't know that there's \mathcal{SH}

1	probable cause and he's
2	THE COURT: So it's your
3	THE DEFENDANT: refused to do anything with the
4	case.
5	THE COURT: It's your contention that he is
6	incompetent?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, it appears that he is
8	insufficiently prepared and sufficiently conflicted to not be
9	able to defend this revocation proceeding.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	THE DEFENDANT: And he has willfully ignored
12	communication attempts with him so the communication is broke,
13	the trust is broke. And additionally, because of the conflicts
14	that would possibly incriminate himself, but definitely
15	incriminate some of his very good friends, I feel that there's
16	very actual conflicts of interest.
17	THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Since his
18	appointment how many times have you met with him in good faith
19	about your case?
20	THE DEFENDANT: I went to his office on July 6th. It
21	was that afternoon or evening that I found that he lied. So I
22	had sent him probably, my guess is between July 6 and now,
23	somewhere in the ballpark of 50 communications, probably about
24	20 phone calls. The vast majority of them have been refused.
25	I've also asked him to meet with me on probably about

15 or 20 different occasions. I've called and talked to his 1 secretary and she said he's getting the messages but that he is 2 3 not sharing the case items with her, the paralegal, which he normally does, and that she also stated that it's very likely 4 that they aren't going to do anything because once we discussed 5 the conflicts of interest she had agreed about some of the 6 7 items that we had discussed and she said it's likely they 8 wouldn't do anything with the defense. 9 Now, it appears that he's talked to people, against what he's promised me not to do, and it appears that he may be 10 out fishing for the other side. Because, as we know, his very 11 12 good friends, with his own words, are some of the adverse 13 parties and witnesses in this case and obviously using his position as my attorney would clearly help him go out and fish 14 15 to see what investigations might be out there, what evidence, and also to maybe help sway witnesses to testify or to provide 16 17 statements that are favorable to the other side and not to me. He hasn't done anything at all of any substance to 18 defend the case and he refuses, flatly refuses to return calls, 19 return e-mails, and even goes so far that I had to call from a 20 blocked number to him, got him on the phone, let him know it 21 22 was me, and when I tried to discuss the case he hung up. THE COURT: How many e-mails have you sent him about 23 24 this case? 25 THE DEFENDANT: My quess would be about 50. I had

1	asked to bring some evidence into the court, Internet access,
2	because then we would have been able to play some of the
3	evidence, be able to see some of the things, see some of the
4	e-mails, but, Your Honor, I believe you had refused that order
5	and disallowed me from having any kind of testimony or evidence
6	presented in support of my claims.
7	THE COURT: Let me hear from you again regarding your
8	request that you be allowed to proceed pro se.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Well, Your Honor, I would love to
10	have unconflicted counsel. But as you probably saw in the
11	filing that's before the Court that I handed you a moment ago,
12	the problem is that, because of your personal relationships and
13	longtime friendships with some of the people here, whether it's
14	Mark Kadish, Alan Baverman, whether it's in regards to Mark
15	Kadish's statements about him paying you, whether it's in
16	regards to the ex parte communications that were witnessed by
17	other people and sworn to and presented into this court, all
18	these items obviously are very uncomfortable to bring to you.
19	The other item is that I've talked to all these
20	conflicted attorneys and they all stated off the record that
21	they're scared of you. And I have evidence of that.
22	THE COURT: Did you ask them why?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. They said that because they
24	have to practice before you, Judge Cooper, and because a lot of
25	these are appointed cases, appointed cases rely on appointments $5 \dot{\mathcal{H}}$

for their income. They also rely on the judge's good graces to 1 make sure that their billings aren't reduced. 2 So typically a conflict of interest doesn't involve a 3 judge; it involves another party. This is very unique in that 4 the conflicts of interest are the attorneys are scared to raise 5 items that would be for the defense of the defendant because it 6 involves the judge presiding in the case. So this is very 7 8 unique. THE COURT: Was that also true of Mark Kadish? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: Mark Kadish was not retained by 11 choice. I had another attorney, Alan Baverman, and Alan Baverman's relative actually insisted I use Mark Kadish and 12 13 that was not my choice. As the record reflects, my life and my 14 kids' lives were threatened and I was told to fire another 15 attorney, to use Mark Kadish. 16 THE COURT: What about Lynn Fant? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Lynn Fant was appointed by Judge Baverman and the record reflects that she would have pursued 18 19 direct appeal but she wanted me to stay quiet about the judge that appointed her, which is Judge Alan Baverman, who happens 20 to have a gigantic financial interest. His family has got 21 about a million dollar financial interest, personal conflicts 22 of interest, and his family has done business dealings with one 23

of the accusers, which is Mr. Willison, who is Mr. Froelich's \mathcal{H}

25 client and good friend.

1	THE COURT: What about Sandra Michaels?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Sandra Michaels was, or is I don't
3	know if they're still married Jack Martin's wife, from what
4	I understand. And she actually brought to my attention,
5	because we had handwriting of Paul Morochnik and Alan Baverman,
6	her husband was involved early on and she conflicted herself
7	out, I believe. I think I brought it to the Court's attention
8	and she agreed.
9	THE COURT: What about Derek Jones?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Derek Jones, he represented somebody
11	that was related to the proceedings of the alleged fraud in the
12	underlying case and he actually agreed immediately that there's
13	a conflict.
14	THE COURT: What about Michael Saul?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Michael Saul wanted to remove himself
16	because at the time there was a lot of media going on and for
17	him to bring up things about the judges involved in the case,
18	and because of his regular practice before the Court, a good
19	portion of his income relies on having judges, you know, or him
20	to stay in good graces with the judges.
21	THE COURT: Is there anything else you would like to
22	say regarding these two motions?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Judge Cooper, as I had mentioned
24	before, I would think that it would be appropriate that you
25	wouldn't be involved because of your personal and other $S\#$

interests with some of the people that are adverse parties in 1 2 this case. 3 We've presented sworn testimony. I think at this point 12 different sworn individuals have provided evidence 4 5 that show that there was witness ex parte communications in regards to the million dollars that Mark Kadish stated he was 6 7 going to give part to you, and there was witnessed statements 8 by Jerry Froelich stating that this revocation proceeding has 9 already been predetermined and that he's already discussed 10 things with you. Those are all witness things, they are sworn statements, and the sworn statements have been brought before 11 12 the Court. We haven't had an evidentiary hearing nor have we 13 been able to have testimony based off any of the subpoenas. 14 15 my knowledge not a single subpoena that's been entered into the 16 record has ever been heard and these issues have been before 17 the Court since at least 2004, which is now seven, almost eight 18 years. 19 THE COURT: Thank you, you may be seated. 20 Mr. O'Brien, please come forward. Mr. O'Brien --21 MR. O'BRIEN: May it please the Court --THE COURT: Let me say, before I give you an 22 23 opportunity to respond to Defendant Scott Hintz's contention 24 that you should not be permitted to represent him because of an alleged conflict of interest and/or lack of impartiality on 25

- 1 your part, I want to ask you a few questions.
- 2 MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: First, I want to ask you several
- 4 questions about your professional qualifications.
- 5 MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Second, I want to ask you a few questions
- 7 about your relationship, if any, to and with attorney Jerry
- 8 Froelich.
- 9 MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: And third, I want to ask you a few
- 11 questions about what has transpired between you and Defendant
- 12 Scott Hintz since you were appointed by Magistrate Judge Hagy
- to represent him in a matter brought by the government to
- 14 revoke his supervised release.
- MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: My first question, are you a graduate of
- 17 an accredited law school?
- 18 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, I have received a Juris
- 19 Doctorate degree from the University of Louisville in Kentucky
- 20 in 1973. I also received an LL.M in taxation from the
- 21 University of Miami in 1974.
- 22 THE COURT: Thank you. Are you licensed to practice
- law in the state of Georgia?
- MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor. I've been licensed
- and in good standing since 1975 in Georgia. I'm also licensed $5t\!\!f$

- 1 in the state of Florida.
- THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you been
- 3 practicing law in Georgia?
- 4 MR. O'BRIEN: Well, 1975, I guess that's 36 years
- 5 now.
- 6 THE COURT: Do you also practice in Florida from time
- 7 to time?
- 8 MR. O'BRIEN: Well, I have but not --
- 9 THE COURT: Not much?
- 10 MR. O'BRIEN: Not really. I've actually been in
- 11 federal court in Florida, handled a case in front of Judge
- 12 Marcus when he was a district court judge.
- 13 THE COURT: What other states are you licensed to
- 14 practice other than Georgia and Florida?
- MR. O'BRIEN: Those are the only two, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: The only two. What kind of practice do
- 17 you have?
- 18 MR. O'BRIEN: Well, for the last ten years, probably
- 19 primarily federal criminal cases, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Federal criminal cases?
- MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Throughout the southeast or cases here in
- 23 Georgia?
- MR. O'BRIEN: Basically just in Georgia.
- THE COURT: How many criminal cases have you handled

- during your legal career in federal courts here in the state of
- 2 Georgia?
- MR. O'BRIEN: Oh, God, it would be a guess, Judge.
- 4 I've had a number of jury trials and sentencings and pleas.
- 5 More than -- I don't know, Your Honor. It would be a guess but
- 6 I'm regularly in federal court --
- 7 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 8 MR. O'BRIEN: -- I'll just say that.
- 9 THE COURT: But you do appear from time to time in
- state court in connection with criminal matters?
- MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Have you handled cases in which the
- 13 government moved to have a person's supervised release revoked?
- MR. O'BRIEN: Many, many times in state court;
- 15 probation revocations are very common. Probably a couple in
- 16 federal court.
- 17 THE COURT: Couple in federal court?
- 18 MR. O'BRIEN: Right.
- 19 THE COURT: Are you on the Court's CJA list?
- 20 MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor. I have been for many
- 21 years.
- 22 THE COURT: Let me ask you a few questions about your
- 23 relationship, if any, to and with Mr. Jerry Froelich.
- MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Do you know attorney Jerry Froelich?

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, I do know Mr. Froelich. 1 THE COURT: How long have you known attorney 2 3 Mr. Froelich? MR. O'BRIEN: How long have I known him did you say? 4 THE COURT: Yes, approximately. 5 MR. O'BRIEN: Oh, 20 years, 20, 25 years. 6 THE COURT: Is attorney Jerry Froelich a close 7 personal friend of yours? 8 9 MR. O'BRIEN: I've never been in his home, he's never 10 been in mine, never been to dinner with him. But, I mean, you 11 know, I consider him a friend, I don't socialize with him, but I mean everybody in the building knows Jerry Froelich. You 12 know, he's a fine man, good lawyer. 13 THE COURT: But you've never been to his home nor has 14 15 he ever been to your home? MR. O'BRIEN: No, Your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: And you never had a meal with him? MR. O'BRIEN: Never what? 18 THE COURT: Had a meal, a lunch. 19 20 MR. O'BRIEN: Oh, I can't even remember having a meal 21 with him, no. 22 THE COURT: So you know him in a professional 23 context? MR. O'BRIEN: Absolutely. And that's, of course, 24 what I told, you know, my client here, that I know him 25

professionally, as probably every criminal lawyer in the 1 2 Northern District knows Jerry. THE COURT: Are you aware of any problems that your 3 client has had with Mr. Froelich? 4 5 MR. O'BRIEN: I know that -- well, let me say this, this is what I know. I've done a lot of work in the case. 6 7 The people that are alleged to be victims in this case, essentially there are people that claim that Mr. Hintz 8 9 defrauded them out of some money. I talked to Mr. Froelich, by 10 the way, recently about the case. I interviewed him. 11 represents some of those people. And Mr. Hintz also filed a civil lawsuit against many of Mr. Froelich's clients. And, 12 13 tangentially, I know that may be where Mr. Froelich got this 14 subpoena that Mr. Hintz is complaining about. 15 But he initiated a lawsuit. A few weeks after, you 16 know, Ms. Moore petitioned to have his probation revoked. 17 primary witnesses in the revocation proceeding I think may be Froelich's clients, Mr. Froelich's clients, or one or more of 18 19 his clients, and I think that perhaps what may have happened is 20 Mr. Froelich may have said if this quy, if you think he stole money from you, here's what you can do, this is a soft spot, 21 22 he's on probation and perhaps we should alert the probation 23 officer. I think that may be what happened because there is a letter from Mr. Froelich to Ms. Moore outlining what they claim 24 SH Mr. Hintz did. 25

1	THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Knowing that
2	Defendant Scott Hintz has had an unpleasant encounter or
3	disagreement with Mr. Froelich, would this in any way adversely
4	affect your representation of Scott Hintz in a matter pending
5	before this Court?
6	MR. O'BRIEN: I can't see of any conceivable way in
7	which it would interfere with my ability to represent
8	Mr. Hintz. In fact, frankly, Your Honor, I would be surprised
9	if Mr. Froelich even shows as a witness. I don't know if he
10	has any relevant testimony.
11	THE COURT: Do you believe or feel it's a conflict of
12	interest for you to represent Mr. Scott Hintz knowing how he
13	feels about your relationship to Mr. Froelich?
14	MR. O'BRIEN: No, Your Honor, I don't think it is at
15	all. I don't think it creates a conflict.
16	THE COURT: Do you believe and feel that you can
17	fairly, justly, and aggressively represent Defendant, the
18	Defendant knowing of his dislike for Mr. Froelich?
19	MR. O'BRIEN: And apparently his dislike for me, but
20	I have no reservations about my ability to aggressively defend
21	Mr. Hintz in these proceedings free and clear of any, you know,
22	conflict or perceived conflict or imagined conflict.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. At this time I'm going to ask
24	you a few more questions.
25	MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor.

SH

THE COURT: Then I'll give you an opportunity to 1 respond to Mr. Hintz's motion to remove you as counsel of 2 3 record in this case. MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: When were you appointed to represent 5 Scott Hintz, and for what reason? 6 7 MR. O'BRIEN: Probably about ten -- probably within the last two weeks. I received a communique over the Internet. 8 9 When the magistrates are in need of an attorney they usually 10 send an e-mail out to the panel attorneys, is anybody interested. I responded to Ms. Burks in Judge Hagy's chambers, 11 and I said I'm available if you need somebody to handle it. 12 Judge Hagy communicated back to me, you know, if I want to take 13 the case, fine. I said that's fine. 14 15 So it's probably within the last two weeks, Your 16 I probably have the voucher in the file here somewhere. 17 It hasn't been that long. THE COURT: Since your appointment how many times 18 19 have you met with Mr. Hintz? 20 MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Hintz -- well, Judge, here's the voucher, I was appointed on or about, it looks like July the 21 22 5th, I think is the date, Your Honor. I think it's July the 23 5th is what the voucher says. Mr. Hintz, when I was appointed, I called Mr. Hintz, 24

I had his phone number, called him. We talked on the phone for

- a while. He interviewed me actually more than I interviewed
- 2 him. But that's all right, I understand, this is a serious
- 3 matter for Mr. Hintz. I suggested we get together. And I
- 4 think the next day or so he came by the office with the young
- 5 lady that's in the courtroom with him. We talked. He told me
- about the case, what his thoughts were, what he wanted to do in
- 7 the case. I made notes, you know. Do you want me to tell you
- 8 what I did in the case?
- 9 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 10 MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. What happened was I accepted the
- 11 appointment, called Mr. Hintz. We talked on the phone for a
- while, set up an appointment in the office. And Mr. Hintz came
- by the office. We met, went over the case, talked about things
- 14 and things such as that.
- And at the end of the conversation he suggested, he
- 16 asked me is there any way that I think that the Court would let
- 17 him go to the Florida because the young lady's mother was very
- sick and he wanted to go to Florida. And I said, well, no harm
- 19 in asking. We immediately filed a petition, which the Court
- 20 granted, for him to go to Florida.
- We then obtained all the case materials. Most of
- it's available, you know, on the Internet. We downloaded all
- 23 of it. And I will say that my paralegal, I turned it over to
- 24 her and she's done a marvelous job organizing. This is the
- 25 file, this is on the revocation. It's all organized and tagged

- 1 and everything, she did a good job on it.
- I called the probation officer. I know Ms. Moore.
- 3 I've had, you know, matters with her before, Lisa Moore.
- 4 Talked to her about the case, what the spin on it was, how it
- 5 was initiated, trying to, you know, confirm some of things that
- 6 Mr. Hintz had told me.
- 7 She told me about the case and what she had received
- 8 and things like that, how the case, you know, how the case came
- 9 up. And I will also say that she said to me she'd never had
- any trouble with Mr. Hintz, he'd been a good probationer and,
- 11 you know, she didn't have any cause to initiate any complaint
- 12 against him until this information came across her desk. So I
- 13 would just say that too.
- So then I called Ms. Lisa [sic] Abrams. I've called
- Ms. Abrams two or three times, the United States attorney in
- 16 the case, to discuss the case with her. And, you know, I
- wanted to make sure this is something that they were serious
- 18 about going forward on, and they are.
- 19 I called Brian Mendelsohn. Brian was his former
- 20 lawyer. I know Brian, have had cases with Brian too. And made
- 21 sure, you know, I made sure that the discovery that Brian had,
- 22 that he had given it to Mr. Hintz because I wanted to make sure
- 23 he had what he was asking because he was consistently asking me
- for the discovery and then come to find out that Mr. Mendelsohn
- 25 had given him the discovery. So he had the discovery.

But I will say there's a little bit -- a little more 1 2 discovery I got from the United States attorney than what 3 Mr. Hintz got. He does not have the Bank of America records and I told him that I would make copies and get those to him. 4 5 I spoke with Ms. Abrams this morning, wanted to make sure that we had everything. She said she's sending me some 6 7 additional discovery. So, you know, whatever I have he either has or he is going to have. 8 So, anyway, I assigned the case to the paralegal. 9 She organized the file, she did a good job. Mr. Stelmach, who 10 11 is in the courtroom, is my investigator, I assigned the case to 12 him too. He's got the file and he's looked at it. He's 13 actually interviewing witnesses at my behest. 14 He has interviewed who I consider probably the 15 linchpin witness in the case, Mr. Mike Hamilton. He seems to 16 be the one that the government is building their case about --17 around. He's interviewed Mr. Hamilton, talked to him. We got a full, complete report and I gave the report to Mr. Hintz. He 18 19 has a copy of Mr. -- my investigator's interview with 20 Mr. Hamilton. 21 I set up a second appointment for Mr. Hintz and I said I need you to come in, we need to get ready, you know. 22 23 This is last week. He no showed. He didn't come in. He knew there was a meeting, he just didn't come in. He said he had a 24 conflict. I sent an e-mail back, I said you need to cancel 25 SH

SH

- 1 whatever conflict you have, you need to be here, this is
- 2 important. And he didn't make it, anyway, he didn't make it.
- And, you know, as I said to him, I said, you know,
- 4 short of a legal meeting or a medical, you're not supposed to
- 5 be going anywhere. I said you need to be here in my office,
- 6 this is important.
- 7 He couldn't come and I gave him the benefit of the
- 8 doubt. So I thought, well, maybe he went to Florida even
- 9 though he said he wasn't going to Florida.
- 10 So I put together a list of questions, you know, that
- I was going to go over with him, significant questions I
- thought touched all the issues in the case and I sent them to
- 13 Mr. Hintz. He says he got them, he answered them and sent them
- 14 back to me. He may have. Frankly, Judge, I get so many
- e-mails from him that it may be attached to one of the e-mails
- I haven't had a chance to open yet. But I asked him to bring
- 17 copies of the answers today so I could have them.
- So, anyway, that's, you know, kind of where we are.
- 19 I have a very, very, very comprehensive idea of what happened
- 20 in this case. I know what the government's case is about, I've
- 21 read all the discovery material, I know what they're saying.
- 22 And, frankly, it's fairly straightforward, it's not a whodunit,
- 23 you know.
- With regard to communicating with Mr. Hintz, we've
- 25 had meetings, you know, I get -- I mean, I've got more e-mails

- 1 than I can imagine; there's no shortage of communication here.
- I have not responded to all his e-mails; I've responded to the
- 3 ones that I felt had some meat on the bone that we needed to
- 4 talk to. Frankly, most of the e-mails, Judge, are the same
- 5 e-mail, just sent six minutes later, you know, that kind of
- 6 thing.
- 7 THE COURT: Let me ask you this, Mr. O'Brien. Orally
- 8 and in e-mails have you addressed his concerns about an alleged
- 9 conflict of interest on your part?
- 10 MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. I have. I've told him my
- 11 relationship with Mr. Froelich. I said I've not socialized
- 12 with him. I don't know if I told him but, frankly, I don't see
- where Froelich is involved in the case, I don't see him as a
- 14 witness.
- 15 He's complained to me, and he makes a basic
- 16 misunderstanding and I give him the benefit of the doubt, it
- 17 may be in good faith, he says -- there seems to be some
- 18 understanding that Mr. Froelich used a subpoena to obtain
- 19 records that may inculpate Mr. Hintz. And I said to him, I
- said, well, nobody can use a subpoena, you know, it's got to be
- 21 some connection to a case. People can't just get subpoenas and
- 22 go get documents. And we agreed on that point and he seemed to
- 23 think, well, that's what Froelich did.
- 24 But two things, and perhaps I didn't communicate this
- 25 enough to him. Number one, unless I might have a fundamental

SH.

1	misunderstanding about the law but Froelich is not an agent of
2	the government and if Froelich goes and uses a subpoena and
3	gets records and turns them over on a silver platter to the
4	United States attorney, that's not a Fourth Amendment issue, it
5	doesn't generate a Fourth Amendment issue unless I'm mistaken.
6	And, secondly, I mean, he may have a civil claim
7	against Mr. Froelich for invasion of privacy or something like
8	that, if in fact he did it, but what I'm thinking happened was
9	when Mr. Hintz filed a lawsuit, which he filed in this court,
10	you know, Froelich is defending those folks, I believe he may
11	have then obtained a subpoena in connection with the lawsuit
12	that Mr. Hintz initiated. Either way I don't I see it as a
13	nonissue, frankly, Judge, you know what I mean? So
14	THE COURT: Did you assure him or attempt to assure
15	him that you would fairly, justly, and aggressively represent
16	his interests before this Court and other courts?
17	MR. O'BRIEN: I have made it clear to him that I will
18	do everything I can to help him. Now, I'm not going to be, you
19	know, jumping in a smokescreen and following red herrings all
20	over the place; I'm going to try to keep my eye on the ball
21	here. I know what the case involves.
22	Anyway, yes, I've told him that. I think we have
23	plenty of communication. I think we may have a disagreement,
24	frankly, Your Honor, as to what is relevant in the case, but
25	that's my call. I'm going to have to make I'm going to be $5 heta$

- the one that's going to have to question witnesses and present
- 2 the defense. We may have a disagreement about what's relevant.
- 3 I haven't completely said to Mr. Hintz that's all nonsense and
- 4 foolishness. If there's some substance to it, then we'll
- 5 present it. But we may have some disagreement about what's
- 6 relevant, you know what I mean?
- 7 With regard to --
- 8 THE COURT: Let me ask you this for fear I may
- 9 forget. Do you fear appearing before me or any other judge on
- 10 this court?
- 11 MR. O'BRIEN: Have I appeared?
- 12 THE COURT: I said do you fear, f-e-a-r, appearing
- before me or any other judge on this court.
- MR. O'BRIEN: Well, here's my thought on it, Judge.
- 15 I have great -- I am in awe of the majesty of this Court, I
- 16 have great respect for you personally, but I'm not afraid of
- 17 you. I'm not afraid of any of the judges in this court.
- And let me address something else, too, with regard
- 19 to Judge Baverman. I did tell Mr. Hintz that I never had a
- 20 case with Judge Baverman. But what I meant and what I said to
- 21 him was that I knew Alan Baverman when he practiced law and
- 22 before he became a magistrate. And I said I don't remember
- 23 having a case with him, though, and I never have. But I've
- been in front of Judge Baverman more times than I can count.
- 25 He's a magistrate here. I mean, anybody that does criminal

- 1 federal cases appears in magistrate court, that's where
- 2 everything starts. So, you know, I'm not afraid of
- 3 Judge Baverman, Judge Hagy, Judge Cooper. Judge Thrash scares
- 4 me a little bit but I'm not afraid of him.
- 5 THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Have you ever been
- 6 threatened by me or any other judge on this court?
- 7 MR. O'BRIEN: Never, Your Honor. In fact -- no,
- 8 never. I will say this with regard to threats. Mr. Hintz has
- 9 made it clear to me that he received threats. I've received
- 10 e-mails that he's getting lifing -- threatening. I immediately
- 11 called the United States attorney and I said, you know, these
- 12 are serious, if a man's getting threats and he's involved in a
- 13 case. She said I take it very seriously too, Mr. O'Brien. She
- 14 said have your client come in, I will talk to him; or,
- 15 alternatively, you can reduce it to writing and we'll
- 16 investigate it.
- I immediately sent an e-mail to Mr. Hintz. In fact,
- 18 the United States attorney is agreeable to meet with us after
- 19 the hearing if we want to go and I told him that and he said
- 20 he's made other arrangements or something.
- 21 THE COURT: I asked those two questions because
- 22 Mr. Hintz himself has indicated that these attorneys who appear
- 23 before me and other judges are afraid of us.
- MR. O'BRIEN: They're not. I know them all, they're
- 25 not afraid of you. I tried a death penalty case with Derek

SH

- Jones, he's not afraid of anybody. Sandra Michaels, she's not
- afraid of anybody. Mendelsohn, he'll do what he's got to do.
- I mean, these are all good lawyers. You know, they may have,
- 4 again, disagreements as to what's relevant in the case but none
- of those people that I know of are afraid of anybody. I mean,
- 6 we all certainly are respectful of the Court, as we're required
- 7 to be. But, you know, I don't think there's anybody afraid to
- 8 the point where they won't advance a legitimate defense in the
- 9 case. That's my position, Judge.
- 10 And I am more `than well prepared. You know, I don't
- 11 have to like a guy to defend him and I don't care if he likes
- me but I'm going to do what I can to soften the landing for
- 13 Mr. Hintz and, you know, advance every legitimate defense that
- 14 he has.
- 15 THE COURT: Thank you, you've answered my questions.
- 16 Thank you.
- Mr. Hintz, you have the last say. Let me hear from
- you, you might want to reply to some of what he said.
- 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Judge Cooper. I'd like to bring
- 20 to your attention the filing that was handed to you earlier,
- 21 page 2, and it says here: "The hearing will allow the
- 22 presentation of only one side of the story from an extremely
- 23 conflicted attorney that is expected to provide false but
- 24 unsworn statements into the record of the hearing to protect
- 25 the interest of Mr. O'Brien, Judge Cooper, and very good SH

friends and associates of these two individuals. Defendant 1 would have been able to refute these false statements of 2 Mr. O'Brien had he been -- that he is expected to make with 3 available evidence and testimony but Judge Cooper has very 4 specifically foreclosed Defendant's constitutional right to 5 6 offer proof in support of Defendant's presented claims." 7 With that said, what I was going to let the Court and 8 the record reflect is he stated that he went out of his way to 9 try to let me go to Florida. What he fails to tell you is 10 after this Court provided the order, he sends an e-mail saying 11 that we need to meet right away. 12 I call him; he doesn't return the phone call. I sent 13 e-mails; he doesn't return the e-mails. Obviously, I'm 14 concerned about the defense, I'm concerned about the conflicts of interest in the case. He refuses to return any phone calls, 15 16 refuses to return any e-mails, and, to be safe, I didn't go 17 down. This young lady's mother was very sick in Florida. I 18 didn't go because I was afraid to leave. I wanted clarity. I 19 sent e-mails; he received them. I talked to his assistant. 20 Never a single return phone call, never a single return e-mail. 21 Additionally, he lies about Mr. Froelich not being a 22 The record will reflect that Mr. Jerry Froelich, or witness. 23 Jerome Froelich, has already signed a sworn statement and put it into the record before this United States District Court. 24 So he is a witness, a sworn witness, albeit a fraudulent 25 5H

statement before this Court. And those things can be proven 1 2 had I been able to present evidence today. 3 THE COURT: I want to make sure. Have you brought any legal action against Mr. Froelich? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: No -- I correct that, Your Honor. I did but we never went to a proceeding. It was dropped before 6 7 it ever was and it occurred after Mr. Froelich had gotten the 8 subpoena. Mr. Froelich has never been a party to any case prior, he's never been a record attorney or a party to any 9 10 lawsuit that I have been involved with prior to him getting a 11 subpoena. 12 THE COURT: Have you ever had an encounter with 13 Mr. Froelich? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Judge Cooper, I did. Actually, you 15 may or may not have seen the CBS Atlanta video. He was in 16 court representing Robbie Willison, which has been in the news 17 for being associated with a \$53 million Ponzi, been associated with Penthouse magazine, iBill, the Internet porn company. His 18 19 associate, Michael Garone, has been recently arrested and 20 convicted for money laundering for some of the largest online 21 gambling companies in the world. And these are my accusers. Jerry Froelich is a retained attorney and a close 22 23 personal friend of these people. CBS Atlanta showed it and we 24 had -- actually, when I brought criminal charges against some 25 of these people Mr. Froelich made threats to me that were SH

witnessed by other people. And Mr. Froelich and another 1 2 attorney, as witnessed by other people, made threats that I 3 needed to lay off the civil RICO claims that I had against the 4 other people or they would cause unwarranted and unjust problems with my revocation. 5 THE COURT: Do you recall specifically what threats 6 7 Mr. Froelich --8 THE DEFENDANT: Certainly. What was witnessed and 9 testified to by other people that overheard Mr. Froelich before I was around was in Fulton County. What he had stated was 10 something along the lines, and I don't have the affidavit in 11 12 front of me, but it was along the lines of he's already talked 13 to Judge Cooper, everything's set, all that's set is for 14 Mr. Hintz to get a sentence and go to jail. He made those very clear to his client and made it clear to other people. 15 16 Mr. Froelich probably wasn't aware that there was 17 people in plainclothes and that there was other people that he 18 wasn't aware of who they were that were listening. This 19 happened in a public place in the Fulton County court. 20 When somebody came out and told me about some of the conversations, I came in, I had a talk with Mr. Froelich and 21 Mr. Froelich repeated the things also, stating I've already 22 23 been found quilty in these revocation proceedings, he's already talked to Judge Cooper. And these are his words, and again 24 there's sworn people that have seen these things, and he said 25 SH

that he's talked to you, Judge Clarence Cooper, about this 1 case, it's been predetermined, and the only thing that's left 2 to do is to send me to jail. 3 4 THE COURT: Thank you. THE DEFENDANT: And, Judge Cooper, I wasn't finished 5 6 but --7 THE COURT: Excuse me. THE DEFENDANT: -- are you asking me to sit down? 8 9 THE COURT: Wait, what is it? 10 MR. O'BRIEN: I just wanted to say I think it's important, you know, that we communicate. And Mr. Hintz said 11 12 not one e-mail from me. I have a series of e-mails that I did, 13 just a sample of e-mails I sent to Mr. Hintz. And just to 14 round out the record, perhaps we can seal these up, because I 15 don't think the substance of it is really important, but just to demonstrate that I have responded to many of the e-mails. 16 17 If you would like to make them part of the --18 THE DEFENDANT: Judge Cooper, I have an --19 MR. O'BRIEN: Excuse me, Mr. Hintz, excuse me, when 20 one person is talking let him talk, please. 21 THE DEFENDANT: I thought you were finished. 22 MR. O'BRIEN: Judge, I don't want the substance of 23 the e-mails, because some of his communications are on here too, if we can perhaps just make them part of the record and 24 seal them, to demonstrate that, yes, I have been responding to 25 SH

1 Mr. Hintz's e-mails. THE COURT: That will be all right. 2 3 MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. THE COURT: Go ahead, let's finish up. 4 Judge Cooper, I believe that as the 5 THE DEFENDANT: filing this morning, you know, brought to the Court's 6 7 attention, Mr. O'Brien has a very vested interest to stay in 8 this case to represent other issues. He's well aware that the 9 accusers that I have in this revocation proceeding have been witnessed as talking and saying that they've met with you and 10 talked with you and have already predetermined these cases. 11 12 He's aware that during the preliminary hearings --13 which he didn't even know there was preliminary hearings in 14 these revocation proceedings, didn't know there was probable cause, but after I explained what the revocation proceedings, 15 16 you know, are, he informed me that he relies a great deal on 17 the courts for his income. From what I understand, Your Honor, is he has to be in good graces with judges so that they assign 18 Those cases are his livelihood. 19 cases to him. Additionally, when he presents the bills to the 20 Court, the Court has the discretion to either approve or write 21 down some of those bills. So he's essentially in a position 22 23 where if he brings to the Court's attention things that are against the very same people that affect his paycheck, that's a 24 little bit different than representing somebody on the street 25 SH

that's a drug dealer and another drug dealer has a conflict in it; that's not a judge sitting before this court that affects his financial livelihood. Now, additionally he stated that Mr. Froelich was

nothing but a professional friend. He contradicted himself even on this record and said that he is a social friend. He also stated that he hasn't, you know, met him, I believe, and we could always replay the record, that he hasn't met him in a social setting or for a meal. Again, had I been able to bring in sworn testimony or even put him on the witness stand then maybe we would have a resolution to this.

The things that he's talking about, the e-mails, he fails to mention that the only replies that he has are self-serving. He has never answered very pertinent questions, including the questions before the Court. How did Mr. Froelich get a subpoena when he was neither a party or a record attorney to any case involving me?

Additionally, he and another attorney threatened my attorney, and that was witnessed. These are officers of the Court that provided sworn affidavits into the record of this case that stated that I was threatened to back off, be quiet, leave this stuff alone, or they would cause unwarranted and knowingly unwarranted problems with my revocation proceedings. There's affidavits that state from witnesses that show that they witnessed Mr. Robert Willison make statements that if I

didn't shut the F up about what was going on, all it takes is a 1 couple of people to say the same line and my butt goes back to 2 3 jail. 4 It's very interesting that they brought it here in this court as opposed to calling the local police or the FBI 5 because had they done that, they wouldn't have had you involved 6 7 with this, they wouldn't have had the opportunity to avoid a jury trial or my other constitutional rights. They very 8 9 specifically, and as is stated by some of their own friends, which I would have liked to bring in to have testify today as 10 11 well, they knew what they were doing. They knew I was 12 innocent, they even signed affidavits, sworn statements. Other 13 people have witnessed it. They all said I did nothing wrong. 14 They've twisted around and they've presented false statements and they know that because they're involved with all 15 these organized crimes -- I mean, obviously online gambling is 16 17 huge, that's Michael Garone, he was convicted in Baltimore. 18 Robbie Willison, involved in numerous, numerous, numerous 19 questionable activities and most recently with a \$53 million 20 Ponzi in California and with an alleged billion dollar-plus 21 financial fraud scam on the New York Stock Exchange that has since been shut down. 22 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 THE DEFENDANT: And these are the people that he's 54 25 siding with.

1	MR. O'BRIEN: Judge
2	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. O'Brien.
3	MR. O'BRIEN: Just one other thing. I don't always
4	start out to be a fool, sometimes it happens just a little bit
5	at a time. But when I talked with Mr. Hintz, that first
6	conversation we talked about the preliminary hearing. I
7	understand the law to be that you don't get a preliminary
8	hearing unless you're in custody on a probation revocation and
9	when he called me he wasn't in custody. And it may have been
LO	that I thought you don't get a preliminary hearing if you're
L1	not in custody on a probation revocation, maybe I'm mistaken
L2	but I think that is the law.
L3	And the other thing is, you know, I have no animus
L 4	whatsoever towards Mr. Hintz. I mean, more than ever now I
L5	believe he needs to have a lawyer to help him in these
L 6	proceedings. You know, you fire me you're going to end up back
.7	in the same way with somebody else. And I am prepared to work
L8	with Mr. Hintz. I've worked with difficult, troubled people
19	for 35 years and I have no reservations about my ability to
20	defend him.
21	THE COURT: We're going to take a 15-minute break.
22	Thank you.
23	(Recess, 12:28 p.m. to 1:15 p.m.)
24	THE COURT: Let the record reflect the following.
25	The Court having heard from Defendant Scott Hintz and \mathcal{SH}

1	attorney Dennis O'Brien with respect to Defendant's Motion to
2	Support Previous Requests to Proceed Pro Se and the Motion to
3	Remove Conflicted Counsel Dennis O'Brien, the Court hereby
4	denies both motions.
5	The Court finds that the Court's previously stated
6	concerns with respect to allowing Defendant to proceed pro se
7	remain and there's not good cause to remove Attorney O'Brien
8	from representing Defendant. Attorney O'Brien has no actual
9	conflict of interest and Mr. O'Brien is a capable attorney who
10	will zealously defend Mr. Hintz in these revocation
11	proceedings.
12	The Court further finds that there has not been a
13	total breakdown in communication or an irreconcilable conflict.
14	Attorney O'Brien has represented to this Court that he will
15	work diligently with Mr. Hintz to prepare a defense to the
16	petition to revoke Mr. Hintz's supervised release.
17	And, Mr. Hintz, you need to cooperate with Attorney
18	O'Brien in the preparation of that defense and rely on his
19	legal expertise, particularly with respect to determining the
20	issues that are relevant in this proceeding.
21	All filings related to these revocation proceedings
22	must be made through Attorney O'Brien. Any filings made by
23	Defendant related to these revocation proceedings will be
24	immediately stricken from the record.
25	Furthermore, insofar as Mr. Hintz's Section 2255
	SH SH

- petition is fully briefed and the Court is presently 1 considering the petition and Mr. Hintz's numerous requests to 2 3 hold an evidentiary hearing in connection with the petition, the Court orders that Mr. Hintz -- strike that. The Court 4 orders Mr. Hintz not to file anything further related to the 5 6 Section 2255 petition. 7 If the Court determines that an evidentiary hearing on the Section 2255 petition is required, the Court will 8 9 continue the revocation proceeding scheduled for Monday, July 25th, 2011, until the evidentiary hearing on the Section 2255 10 11 petition can be held and the petition resolved. Moreover, the Court would appoint counsel to represent Mr. Hintz at any such 12 13 evidentiary hearing and with respect to any other proceedings 14 in the habeas corpus case. 15 Mr. O'Brien, if the Court decides that an evidentiary 16 hearing is required, would you also be willing to represent 17 Mr. Hintz in connection with the Section 2255 proceedings? 18 MR. O'BRIEN: Judge, I don't really know that much 19 about the 2255 background of the case. Can I look into it 20 before I respond? THE COURT: Sure, you can. But if not, I will 21 22 appoint counsel to represent Mr. Hintz if I decide to hold an 23 evidentiary hearing that he's requested on a number of 24 occasions.
- The Court expects to issue an order within the next SH

Case 1:03-cr-00131-CC -CCH Document 474 Filed 08/22/11 Page 55 of 56

day regarding whether an evidentiary hearing of a Section 2255 petition is necessary. With that, we are in recess. Thank you and good day. (Proceedings concluded at 1:20 p.m.)

1	<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA:
5	
6	I hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 1 through
7	47, are a true and correct copy of the proceedings in the case
8	aforesaid.
9	This the 19th day of August, 2011.
10	
11	Amanda Lohnaas
12	
13 14	Amanda Lohnaas, CCR-B-580, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter United States District Court
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	