

**CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST CARL HUGO ANDERSON &
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON AND YOUNG FOR
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, PERJURY, CONSPIRACY, AND RICO**

I, William M. Windsor, the undersigned, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

1. Carl Hugo Anderson (“Anderson”) and Hawkins Parnell Thackston Young (“HPTY”) (jointly “Maid Attorneys”) have conspired with Judge Orinda D. Evans, Judge William S. Duffey, other judges, employees of the Office of the Clerk of the Court, and others to deny me due process rights under the Constitution of Georgia and the United States by intentionally and knowingly committing the crimes of perjury, subornation of perjury, and obstruction of justice.

2. This activity is by definition in violation of Georgia state laws: Perjury –O.C.G.A. 16-10-70 and False Statements to State – Violation of O.C.G.A. 16-10-20; Tampering with Evidence – O.C.G.A. 16-10-94; Subornation of Perjury -- O.C.G.A. 16-10-72; and federal laws: False Swearing – Making False Statements –18 U.S.C. § 1001; Perjury – 18 U.S.C. § 1621; Obstruction of Justice - - 18 U.S.C. § 1503; Subornation of Perjury – Violation of 18 USC § 1622; and as such, said violations also constitute predicate act crimes and prove violation of the RICO statute of the State of Georgia, O.C.G.A. 16-14-1 et seq.

3. Maid Attorneys committed a massive fraud upon the courts and me

using a deliberately planned unconscionable scheme by which the integrity of the judicial process has been fraudulently subverted.

4. Maid Attorneys have filed false sworn pleadings, have filed false pleadings, have filed improper pleadings, have filed allegations and other factual contentions that lack evidentiary support, have obstructed justice, and have suborned perjury.

5. Maid attorneys have fabricated the claim upon which they obtained a Temporary Restraining Order, then a Preliminary Injunction, and then a Summary Judgment.

6. Maid attorneys have knowingly had their client verify false pleadings.

7. Anderson has committed perjury.

8. Maid attorneys have suborned perjury.

9. Maid attorneys have established a pattern and practice of lies, multiple false sworn statements in multiple false sworn affidavits by multiple people, false sworn testimony at the Preliminary Injunction Hearing, in their depositions, and more. These were material false statements.

10. Maid attorneys have concealed documents, altered documents, withheld documents, filed bogus documents, and more as part of the unconscionable scheme by which the integrity of the judicial process has been fraudulently subverted and I have been damaged.

11. Maid's Attorneys have violated the GCPC, the FRCP, and the law.

12. Statements were knowingly and deliberately falsified to serve the improper needs of Maid attorneys, to inflict pain, suffering, and financial loss on me.

13. Most recently, Anderson and HPTY have stolen personal property that belongs to my wife and me. I demanded the return of the personal property, and Anderson and HPTY have refused to do so.

14. I provided the original and only copy of all of my financial documents to Anderson and HPTY on May 13 and May 16, 2011 in response to a request for production of documents that was required by Judge Duffey. I informed Anderson that I was providing the originals for copying. It is clearly stated in the Response to the Production of Documents, and it was arranged prior to production with Carl Hugo Anderson. (Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the agreement.)

15. On May 17, 2011, Anderson confirmed receipt of the boxes of documents provided for copying. (Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of this email exchange.)

16. When Anderson did not return the documents after over a month, I wrote to him on June 15, 2010 demanding that the documents be returned. (Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of the letter and an email.)

17. On June 23, 2011, Anderson responded to my letter about retrieving the documents, and he made arrangements to return the documents. (Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of the letter and an email.)

18. On June 24, 2011, Anderson advised me that he would not return the documents until after a hearing on July 15, 2011. Anderson stated that the documents would be returned the week of July 18, 2011. (Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of the letter and an email.)

19. This made it impossible for me to prepare for the hearing on July 15, 2011. On June 30, 2011, I emailed demanded return of the documents again. (Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of this email.)

20. Anderson and HPTY have not responded to my June 30, 2011 demand for the return of his property.

21. On July 22, 2011, I emailed twice and demanded return of the documents again. (Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of this email.)

22. Anderson and HPTY have not responded to my July 19, 2011 demand for the return of his property.

23. O.C.G.A. 16-8-2 says: "A person commits the offense of theft by taking when he unlawfully takes or, being in lawful possession thereof, unlawfully appropriates any property of another with the intention of depriving him of the property, regardless of the manner in which the property is taken or appropriated."

24. I provided documents to the Defendants pursuant to an agreement dated May 14, 2011. The agreement was that the documents were to be provided to be copied and returned.

25. I own my financial and medical records. The records were provided temporarily to be copied and returned. The terms were stated in writing.

26. Anderson and HPTY have unlawfully appropriated my property. Anderson and HPTY have all of my financial records. Several demands have been made for the return of the property, and Anderson and HPTY have refused to do so. Anderson and HPTY have intentionally deprived me of the property.

27. I have been damaged as a result. I am unable to obtain refunds for items that need to be returned because he is unable to produce the receipt for the purchases. I am unable to file my income tax return or document deductions. I am unable to respond to requirements to submit financial proof in Civil Action No. 1:09-CV-01543-WSD in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, and I have been damaged as a result.

28. Anderson and HPTY have voluntarily committed the act of theft by taking my property. The actions of Anderson and HPTY are inconsistent with my ownership rights.

29. Detailed proof is available in copies of emails, court documents, and my affidavit. A separate Affidavit is available with more detail, and relevant documents are provided on CD-ROM.

FURTHER SAITH AFFIANT NOT.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

Executed this 12th day of August 2011.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William M. Windsor", written in a cursive style.

William M. Windsor