

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION**

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,

Plaintiff

v.

JAMES N. HATTEN, et al.,

Defendants

CIVIL ACTION FILE
NO. 1:11-CV-1923-TWT

ORDER

By Order of this Court of July 15, 2011, Plaintiff was permanently enjoined from filing any complaint or initiating any proceeding, including any new lawsuit or administrative proceeding, in any court (state or federal) or agency in the United States without his first obtaining leave of a federal district court in the district in which the new complaint or proceeding is to be filed. As noted in the Court's Order, the Court found it necessary to issue its injunction "because of Plaintiff's extraordinary abuse of the federal judicial system by repeatedly filing frivolous, malicious and vexatious lawsuits against the judges assigned to his many cases, because of the burden to clerical and judicial operations caused by his voluminous frivolous filings, and because his continuing course of conduct has become an impediment to the administration of justice."

Since entry of its Order, the Court has learned that Plaintiff has sent more than a hundred letters to this court house, addressed to individual court employees or, in many

instances, to unnamed employees in various court positions. The letters seek “people to testify that the judges have committed crimes.” and other information to the same effect. Although carefully worded, the letters are threatening in nature and appear to have been written with an intent to intimidate the recipients. The Court is further informed that similar letters have been sent to the employees of the Court of Appeals. Under existing procedures, each of these letters has been screened and would have to be distributed and delivered by Marshal’s Service and Court personnel. As such, much in the same manner as the Plaintiff’s frivolous, malicious and vexatious pleadings, the letters represent a disruption of the Court’s clerical processes and abuse of the Court’s resources. This is true without considering the potential distraction or upset that may be caused the employees intended to receive these letters.

The Court views this latest action of Plaintiff as a continuation of the misconduct identified by the Court of Appeals as “a burden to clerical and judicial operations” and “an impediment to the administration of justice.” While the Court has sought to limit the Plaintiff’s filing of pleadings in recognition of his misconduct, the Court has not had reason to address other than pleadings. The Plaintiff’s latest actions establish that reason. In light of the most recent actions of the Plaintiff and to ensure the object of this Court’s earlier Order is realized; that the judicial and clerical operations of this court not be disrupted,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that any future mailings received from the Plaintiff or a person acting on his behalf and addressed to multiple employees of the Court, will not be delivered to the employees, but will be delivered to the Clerk of Court or such other person or persons as the Clerk shall designate for that purpose; and

That the Clerk, or his designee, will make provisions to review the correspondence and, after review, will recommend to a judge of this Court whether to respond, return, distribute, discard, or otherwise process said correspondence.

Dated this 22nd day of July, 2011.

/s/Thomas W. Thrash
THOMAS W. THRASH, JR.
United States District Judge