Exhibit 6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,

Plaintiff,

v. : CIVIL ACTION NO. : 1:09-CV-2027-WSD

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

et al.,

Defendants.

<u>ORDER</u>

William M. Windsor's responses to the motions to dismiss filed by the defendants in this case were due on August 18, 2010. Based on Windsor's representations that he was suffering from serious eye problems [127, Ex. B at 4], was "presently unable to do any reading" [135, Ex. B. at 3], required more time "than originally thought to recover from eye surgery" [id.], and was "in a constant state of dizziness and lightheadedness [with] a pounding headache" [139, Ex. B at 3] – all of which allegedly "significantly handicapped [him] in trying to do any legal work" [id. at 7] – this Court entered an open-ended stay of proceedings. This Court also specifically advised Windsor that "that this stay may be lifted by the Court in its discretion at any time" [140 at 5].

AO 72A (Rev 8/82) Because Windsor had attached no material to any of his three requests for a stay or continuance [127, 135, 139] that validated his claims to have vision problems and associated medical issues, this Court ordered Windsor "to submit no later than August 25, 2010, complete documentation supporting the claims made in his filings" [140 at 5]. To date, Windsor has filed nothing on the record. Instead, Windsor has submitted a number of e-mails to the Court, several of which he has withheld from opposing counsel.

Windsor may choose to continue to withhold documentation that might validate his claim to have vision problems and associated medical issues from the Court and opposing counsel at this time, if he wishes. Because he will not not have demonstrated a basis for the stay of proceedings that he requested, however, the stay will be lifted. If that is Windsor's choice, he is **ORDERED** to file his responses to the defendants' motions to dismiss – previously due on August 18, 2010 – no later than September 24, 2010, and the defendants are **ORDERED** to file their replies, if any, no later than October 8, 2010.

Alternatively, Windsor may choose to seek to have the indefinite stay of proceedings that was entered for his benefit kept in place. If that is Windsor's choice, he is **ORDERED** to submit – on the record with copies to opposing

counsel – complete documentation validating his claim to have vision problems and associated medical issues no later than October 1, 2010. Without limitation, that documentation must include (1) a letter from an eye specialist licensed to practice medicine in Georgia, that provides a thorough history of Windsor's diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis, from at least June 1, 2010, into the foreseeable future, and (2) complete copies of Windsor's medical records relating to his vision problems and associated medical issues. This Court will consider whether, and for how long, to continue the present stay of proceedings entered for Windsor's benefit upon receipt of that material.

Windsor is reminded that on August 18, 2010, this Court ordered him to "file reports on the status of all his other pending federal court matters on the first business day of each month" [140 at 5]. Windsor did not file such a report on September 1, 2010. Windsor is **ORDERED** to file a report no later than September 24, 2010, and to file reports thereafter on the first business day of each month until further order of this Court.

This Court has previously advised Windsor that the "Court's Local Rules permit dismissal for failure 'to obey a lawful order of the court in the case.'

LR 41.3A(2), NDGa." [149 at 4]. Windsor is cautioned not to continue to violate this Court's orders.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this day of Sylumbar, 2010.

WILLIAM S. DUFFEY, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE