Exhibit 50

Orders on Motions

1:09-cv-01543-WSD Maid of the Mist Corporation et al v. Alcatraz Media, LLC et al CASE CLOSED on 06/30/2009
APPEAL, CLOSED, SUBMDJ

U.S. District Court

Northern District of Georgia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 4/22/2011 at 3:31 PM EDT and filed on 4/21/2011

Case Name:

Maid of the Mist Corporation et al v. Alcatraz Media, LLC et al

Case Number:

1:09-cv-01543-WSD

Filer:

: !

p.

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 06/30/2009

Document Number: 151

Docket Text:

ORDER granting William M. Windsor's [136] Motion to Seal Financial Materials submitted on March 21, 2011; denying Windsor's [140] Request for Specific Approval to File Motion for Protective Order; granting Windsor's [141] Request for Specific Approval to File Correction to Response to the Motion for Contempt; denying Windsor's [142] Request for Specific Approval to File Motion for Contempt; denying Windsor's [143] Request for Specific Approval to File Motion for Reconsideration; granting Windsor's [144] Request for Specific Approval to File an Apology and Withdraw Filing & Related Matters; granting Windsor's [145] Request for Specific Approval to File Amended Financial Information under Seal and denying the Request for Protective Order or any other relief; denying Windsor's [149] Request for Specific Approval to File Motion for Stay. The Clerk is DIRECTED to return filing for Barbara Windsor dated March 21, 2011. The Clerk is DIRECTED to return to Barbara G. Windsor the Notice of Appeal Certificate of Compliance, and Certificate of Service that were filed in her name on April 11, 2011 for her own original signature. Signed by Judge William S. Duffey, Jr. on 4/21/2011. (dfb)

1:09-cv-01543-WSD Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Carl Hugo Anderson, Jr canderson@hptylaw.com, ttran@hptylaw.com

Christopher J. Huber chris.huber@usdoj.gov, linda.milligan@usdoj.gov

George Brian Raley (Terminated) braley@raleysandifer.com, cwiley@raleysandifer.com, vdavis@raleysandifer.com

Sarah Louise Bright sbright@hplegal.com, smckinley@hplegal.com

CALLECT -OA HOIBIER DISUICI COURT

1:09-cv-01543-WSD Notice has been delivered by other means to:

Barbara G. Windsor P.O. Box 681236 Marietta, GA 30068

Marc W. Brown Phillips Lytle, LLP 3400 HSBC Center Buffalo, NY 14203

William M. Windsor P.O. Box 681236 Marietta, GA 30068

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description: Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1060868753 [Date=4/22/2011] [FileNumber=4329920-0] [600e7434374792214027d5b72d4e2218dcdca52ac435fa241fdc97d89045e996edb 7bdf777b8d74e4fd82e4108239e72ac8fb3fbcf3faeda334291c0534a202f]]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

MAID OF THE MIST

CORPORATION et ai.,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

1:09-CV-1543-WSD

ALCATRAZ MEDIA, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

William M. Windsor's request that the financial material in the brown envelope he submitted on March 21, 2011 be kept under seal [136] is GRANTED.

Windsor's Request for Specific Approval to File Motion for Protective Order [140] is DENIED. This Court previously considered and denied Windsor's request for a protective order in this case [60 & 99] and later denied his motion for reconsideration [130 &134]. Windsor's latest request is, in effect, a second motion for reconsideration, which is prohibited by this Court's Local Rules. See LR 7.1E, NDGa. Furthermore, Windsor raises no arguments in his latest request that could not have been raised earlier. Windsor is not entitled to the further reconsideration he demands [see 42 at 2-4].

AO 72A (Rov.8/82) Windsor's Request for Specific Approval to File Correction to Response to the Motion for Contempt [141] is GRANTED. This Court will consider the corrected pages 24 and 25 to Windsor's response [138] as if they were filed as part of that response.

Windsor's Request for Specific Approval to File Motion for Contempt [142] is **DENIED**. Windsor has been sanctioned by another judge sitting in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia and by the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit for his prior conduct as a pro se litigant [see 52, 54 & 59]. Nothing in Windsor's request identifies a substantial basis on which he ought to be permitted to file a motion for contempt against his adversaries in this case.

Windsor's Request for Specific Approval to File Motion for Reconsideration [143] is **DENIED**. This Court explained in detail in a prior Order [135] why Windsor, a non-lawyer, may not represent his wife in this case. Windsor's argument that a Georgia statute providing that "[w]hatever one may do himself may be done by an agent," O.C.G.A. § 10-6-5, allows any non-lawyer to represent another person in federal court simply by obtaining a power of attorney is specious.

Windsor's Request for Specific Approval to File an Apology and Withdraw Filing & Related Matters in which "Windsor seeks to withdraw the filing for Barbara Windsor dated March 21, 2011" [144 at 3] is GRANTED. The Court accepts Windsor's apology. The Clerk is DIRECTED to return that filing, which Windsor submitted over his own signature, without filing it on the docket.

Windsor's Request for Specific Approval to File Amended Financial Information Under Seal [145] is **GRANTED** to the limited extent that it relates specifically to the filing of corrected pages for the financial information that was submitted on March 21, 2011 [136]. To the extent that Windsor requests a protective order or any other relief [145 at 3-5], his request is **DENIED**.

Windsor's Request for Specific Approval to File Motion for Stay [149] is **DENIED**.

Federal law requires that "[e]very pleading, written motion, and other paper must be signed . . . by a party personally if the party is unrepresented."

Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). An original signature constitutes a certificate to the Court that "to the best of the person's knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances," the pleading, motion or

other paper satisfies certain requirements. Id. at (b). Violations of those requirements are grounds for sanctions. Id. at (c). Accordingly, "[t]he court must strike an unsigned paper unless the omission is promptly corrected after being called to the attorney's or party's attention." Id. (emphasis added).

On April 11, 2011, someone submitted, ostensibly on behalf of Barbara G. Windsor, a cover letter that is unsigned, together with a Notice of Appeal, Certificate of Compliance, and Certificate of Service that were rubber-stamped "Barbara G. Windsor," rather than signed. In light of Windsor's continuing improper attempts to represent his wife in these proceedings, her original signature of each of those documents is required. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to return to Barbara G. Windsor the Notice of Appeal, Certificate of Compliance, and Certificate of Service that were filed in her name on April 11, 2011 for her own original signature.

SO ORDERED, this 2 day of April, 2011.

WILLIAM S. DUFFEY, UR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE